

Nullstellensatz Proofs  $\sum g_i p(C_i) + \sum h_i (x_i^2 - x_i) = 1$

for unsat CNF formula  $F = C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_m$ .

- **degree** of NS refutation is  $\max \{ \deg(g_i p(C_i)), \deg(h_i(x_i^2 - x_i)) \}$
- **size** is total number of monomials obtained by multiplying out the proof before cancellation.

ex)  $C = x_1 \vee x_2 \vee \dots \vee x_n \rightsquigarrow p(C) = (1-x_1)(1-x_2)\dots(1-x_n)$   
 $2^n$  monomials!

### Polynomial Calculus ("Dynamic Nullstellensatz")

Def Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{ p_1=0, p_2=0, \dots, p_m=0 \}$  be a set of polynomial equations over field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

A **Polynomial Calculus** proof of poly  $h$  from  $\mathcal{P}$  is given by a sequence of polynomials

$$h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s = h$$

s.t.

- Each  $h_i$  is either in  $\mathcal{P}$  or deduced from earlier  $h_j$ 's by one of two rules

$$\frac{p \quad q}{p+q}$$

$$\frac{p}{p \cdot q} \quad \text{for any poly. } q$$

A **Polynomial Calculus refutation** of  $\mathcal{P}$  is a proof of 1.

- The **degree** of a PC refutation is the maximal degree of any polynomial in the proof.
- The **size** of a PC refutation is the size of all polynomials in the proof, when expanded as monomials.

$\text{deg}_{\text{PC}}(F) := \text{min degree of any PC refutation of } F$

$S_{\text{PC}}(F) := \text{size}$  \_\_\_\_\_

Fact  $\text{deg}_{\text{PC}}(F) \leq \text{deg}_{\text{NS}}(F)$       Clearly NS proofs can be simulated by PC.  
 $S_{\text{PC}}(F) \leq S_{\text{NS}}(F)^{O(n)}$

Claim  $\text{Peb}_G$  have  $O(1)$ , short proofs in PC if the degree of the graph is small!

PF Idea. Locally simulate Resolution using PC!

$$\frac{C \vee x \quad \bar{x} \vee D}{C \vee D} \rightsquigarrow \frac{\frac{p(C)(1-x) + p(D)x}{p(D)p(C)(1-x)} \quad \frac{p(C)p(D)x}{p(C)p(D)x}}{p(D)p(C)}$$

To solve the " $(1-x_1)(1-x_2) \cdots (1-x_n)$ " problem, define  $\square$

**PCR** (Polynomial Calculus Resolution)

**Just** change encoding of clauses to polynomials!

Now: for every variable  $x$  introduce two variables

$$x, x' \text{ with the equations } \begin{aligned} x^2 - x &= 0 & x + x' &= 1 \\ (x')^2 - x' &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now: translate

$$C = x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2 \vee x_3 \rightsquigarrow p^+(C) = x_1 x_2' x_3 \quad "x = 1 - x'"$$

Fact PCR can size and degree - simulate resolution!

Lower Bounds:

Thm [CEI 96]

For any unsat CNF  $F$ ,

$$S_{PC}(F) \geq 2 \cdot \Omega\left(\frac{(\deg_{PC}(F) - \deg(F))^2}{n}\right)$$

The exact same  
width-size relationship!  
as Resolution

So: degree lower bounds imply size lower bounds!

Thm [Razborov 98, AR 01, BCEIP 98]

$$\deg_{PC}(\text{PHP}_n^{n+1}) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1, \quad \deg_{PC}(\text{Tseig}) = \Omega(n)$$

$$\deg_{PC}(\text{random } k\text{-CNFs}) \geq \Omega(n)$$

true if  
 $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$

## Ideal Proof System [Grochow-Pitassi 14]

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1=0, p_2=0, \dots, p_m=0\}$  be a set of polys.

Defn An **IPS** certificate for  $\mathcal{P}$  is another polynomial *shared by  $P_i$ 's*

$$C(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

on  $n+m$  variables that satisfies

$$(1) C(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$$

$$(2) C(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) = 1$$

So any such  $C$  witnesses  $\mathcal{P}$  is unsatisfiable!

The **size** of  $C$  is the size of the smallest **algebraic circuit** computing  $C$ .



- inputs are variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m$
- output is  $C$
- gates are  $+$ ,  $\cdot$

**Test** conditions (1) and (2) using **Polynomial Identity Testing** (i.e. evaluate  $C$  on a bunch of random points in  $\mathbb{F}$ ).

Lem [Schwarz-Zippel] If  $p$  is a polynomial with

$n$  variables over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  is finite, and  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  are chosen uniformly at random from  $S$ , then

$$\Pr [P(r_1, \dots, r_n) = 0] \leq \frac{d}{|S|}$$

So: IPS proofs are **not** "formal" propositional proof systems unless PIT  $\in$  P.

"Thm" [Grochow-Pitassi 14] Polynomial Identity Testing

There are a set of "PIT axioms" that encode the correctness of PIT.

If a proof system P can

- simulate polynomial evaluation and
- can prove the PIT axioms

then P can efficiently simulate IPS!

IPS is in the intersection of

- Algebra
- Proof Complexity
- Derandomization