

## Assignment 1 solution

**Question 1 (Exercise 2.6 (b) in the text)** [10pt] HIGH-LEVEL IDEA. We describe how the universal nondeterministic Turing machine  $U$  works on input  $(\alpha, x)$ . The idea is to nondeterministically guess a computation of the machine  $M_\alpha$  on input  $x$  and accept if and only if the guess is indeed a valid accepting computation. In order to run in time  $\mathcal{O}(T(|x|))$  (where  $T(|x|)$  is the running time of  $M_\alpha$  on  $x$ ), we need to design the guesses so that it can be verified efficiently. For example, we cannot guess the entire configuration of  $M_\alpha$  for every step, because this would require time  $T(n)^2$  to verify.

Our guesses will be a sequence of  $T(n)$  “description tuples” for the moves of  $M_\alpha$ . Suppose  $M_\alpha$  has  $k$  tapes in total. A description tuple of a move of  $M_\alpha$  is a tuple that contains

- the current state,
- the next state,
- $k$  symbols that  $M_\alpha$  reads on its  $k$  tapes,
- $k$  symbols that  $M_\alpha$  will write on the tapes,
- and  $k$  directions  $L$  or  $R$  or  $S$  (for left, right, or stay) for the movement of the tape heads.

Although  $U$  does not know the value of  $T(|x|)$ , we can guarantee that it always runs in time  $\mathcal{O}(T(|x|))$  by requiring that its guesses are complete computations of  $M_\alpha$  (so the last state is always either  $q_{accept}$  or  $q_{reject}$ ).

To verify that our guesses correctly describe an accepting computation of  $M_\alpha$  on  $x$ , we need to verify that

- (1) each description tuple obeys the transition functions of  $M_\alpha$ ,
- (2) the symbols that are read on the tapes of  $M_\alpha$  are guessed correctly,
- (3) the last description tuple reach the accepting state  $q_{accept}$ .

For (1) we simply go through the sequence of guesses once and verify that each tuple satisfies one of the transition functions of  $M_\alpha$ . (For this purpose the transition functions of  $M_\alpha$  is stored in a separate work tape of  $U$ .) We check (2) for each tape of  $M_\alpha$ , one by one, using a separate work tape of  $M_\alpha$ , by going through the sequence of guesses and following the actions specified by the guesses to reconstruct the content of the work tape of  $M_\alpha$ . The consistency of the guess for each symbol that  $M_\alpha$  reads can be easily verified during reconstruction. We will need  $k$  sweeps through the guesses. Finally (3) is done by simply looking at the last description tuple.

SOME DETAILS. The universal nondeterministic Turing machine  $U$  has three work tapes. On input  $(\alpha, x)$  it decodes  $\alpha$  and stores  $M_\alpha$ 's transition function on the first work tape. The second work tape will be used to store  $M_\alpha$ 's current state during simulation. Then it guesses a sequence of tuples of the form

$$(q, q', s_1, \dots, s_k, s'_1, \dots, s'_k, D_1, \dots, D_k)$$

where  $D_i \in \{L, R, S\}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , so that in the last tuple  $q'$  is either  $q_{accept}$  or  $q_{reject}$ .

Next it goes through this sequence and checks that for each tuple either

$$\delta_0(q, s_1, \dots, s_k) = (s'_1, \dots, s'_k, D_1, \dots, D_k)$$

or

$$\delta_1(q, s_1, \dots, s_k) = (s'_1, \dots, s'_k, D_1, \dots, D_k)$$

where  $\delta_0, \delta_1$  are the transition functions of  $M_\alpha$ , and that in the last tuple  $q' = q_{accept}$ .

Finally, for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  the machine  $U$  uses the last work tape to reconstruct the work tape content of the  $i$ -th work tape of  $M_\alpha$  as follows. For example, consider  $i = 1$ .  $U$  goes through the sequence of guesses, checks that the current symbol that it reads on the third tape is  $s_1$ , then it prints the symbol  $s'_1$  on this tape, and moves the tape head according to  $D_1$ . If at any point the symbol it reads is not the same as  $s_1$  it rejects. After reconstructing the content of the first tape, it erases all symbols on its last tape and goes on to reconstruct  $M_\alpha$ 's second work tape, etc.

If all the above are verified, then  $U$  accepts. The running time of  $U$  is clearly bounded by  $cT(|x|)$  for some constant  $c$  that depends on the machine  $M_\alpha$ .

**Question 2** [10pt] This problem can be solved in the same way that we have used to show (in class) that  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$  implies  $\mathbf{EXP} = \mathbf{NEXP}$ .

Suppose  $DTIME(n) = NTIME(n)$ . It suffices to show that  $NTIME(n^k) = DTIME(n^k)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $L$  be any language in  $NTIME(n^k)$ , i.e., there is an NTM  $M$  for  $L$  that works in time  $\mathcal{O}(n^k)$ . Let

$$L' = \{x01^{n^k-(n+1)} : x \in L \wedge n = |x|\}$$

Then  $M$  can be modified to obtain an NTM  $M'$  that accepts  $L'$  in linear time. By the assumption that  $NTIME(n) = DTIME(n)$ , there is a deterministic TM  $M''$  that accepts  $L'$  in linear time. So an  $\mathcal{O}(n^k)$  deterministic algorithm for  $L$  is as follows. On input  $x$  of length  $n$ , pad  $x$  to obtain to obtain  $y = x01^{n^k-(n+1)}$ , then run  $M''$  on  $y$  and accepts iff  $M''$  accepts.

**Question 3 (Exercise 2.5 in the text)** [10pt] **a)** To show that  $\text{PRIME}$  is in  $co\text{-NP}$  we need to show that there is a certificate for the fact that a given number  $n$  is a composite number. Such a certificate can be taken to be a pair  $(y, z)$  of two numbers such that  $1 < y, z < n$  and  $y \cdot z = n$ . The fact that  $y \cdot z = n$  is easily verified in time polynomial in the length of  $n$ .

**b) HIGH-LEVEL IDEA.** The certificate for  $n \in \text{PRIME}$  will contain  $r$  that is guaranteed by Lucas' test. Then the fact that  $r^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod n$  can be done by repeated squaring. In order to be able to verify the other condition, i.e., for all prime divisor  $q$  of  $n-1$ ,  $r^{\frac{n-1}{q}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$ , we will simply supply a list of all prime divisors of  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k$  of  $n-1$  (probably with repetitions) such that  $q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q_k = n-1$ , and verify that for each  $q_i$ ,  $r^{\frac{n-1}{q_i}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod n$ . Now the fact that  $q_i$  are primes also needs to be certified, and we will construct the certificates for the  $q_i$  in the same way. This suggests that the certificate for  $n$  can be viewed as consisting of at most  $\log(n)$  parts:

$$w_1 \# w_2 \# \dots \# w_\ell$$

(where  $\ell \leq \log(n)$ ) so that

- $w_1$  contains the list  $(r, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k)$  for  $n$  as above;

- for  $j \geq 1$ : for each prime  $p$  in  $w_j$ ,  $w_{j+1}$  contains the list  $(r', q'_1, q'_2, \dots, q'_{k'})$  that verifies that  $p$  is a prime according to Lucas' test.

There are at most  $\log(n)$  such parts because the value of maximum prime in  $w_{j+1}$  is less than half of the same value for  $w_j$ .

We have to argue that the length of  $w_j$  does not grows too fast. This can be seen as follows. Consider the length of  $w_1$ . Because  $q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q_k = n - 1$  we have

$$\sum_i \log(q_i) = \log(n - 1)$$

so

$$\sum_i \lceil \log(q_i) \rceil \leq \lceil \log(n) \rceil + k$$

Also,  $r < n$  and  $k \leq \log(n)$ . Thus the total length of  $w_1$  is at most  $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ . Similarly we can show that  $w_2$  is of length at most

$$\sum_i \mathcal{O}(\log(q_i)) = \mathcal{O}(\log(n))$$

and generally,  $w_j$  has length  $\mathcal{O}(\log(n))$ . As a result, the total length of the certificate is  $\mathcal{O}((\log(n))^2)$ .

**SOME DETAILS.** The NTM  $M$  for PRIME works as follows. On input  $n$  it guesses a non-deterministic string

$$w_1 \# w_2 \# \dots \# w_\ell$$

and verifies that this satisfies the conditions above. That is, it verifies that:

- $w_1$  is a list of the form  $(r, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k)$  where
  - $r^{\frac{n-1}{q_i}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$
  - $q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q_k = n - 1$
  - for each  $i$ ,  $r^{\frac{n-1}{q_i}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .
- for  $1 \leq j < \ell$ , for each prime  $p > 3$  appearing in  $w_j$ ,  $w_{j+1}$  contains a list  $(r', q'_1, q'_2, \dots, q'_{k'})$  such that
  - $(r')^{\frac{p-1}{q'_i}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$
  - $q'_1 \cdot q'_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q'_{k'} = p - 1$
- $\ell \leq \log(n)$

The running time of  $M$  is clearly polynomial in the length of  $n$ .

**Question 4 (Exercises 2.10 and 2.29 in the text) [10pt]** a) Suppose  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L_1 &\Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| \leq t_1(|x|) R_1(x, y) \\ x \in L_2 &\Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| \leq t_2(|x|) R_2(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomial  $t_1, t_2$  and polytime relations  $R_1, R_2$ . Then  $L_1 \cap L_2$  can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L_1 \cap L_2 &\Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| \leq t_1(|x|) + t_2(|x|) + 1, \\ &y = y_1 \# y_2 \wedge |y_1| \leq t_1(|x|) \wedge |y_2| \leq t_2(|x|) \wedge (R_1(x, y_1) \wedge R_2(x, y_2)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $L_1 \cup L_2$  can be define by

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L_1 \cup L_2 &\Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| \leq t_1(|x|) + t_2(|x|) + 1, \\ &y = y_1 \# y_2 \wedge |y_1| \leq t_1(|x|) \wedge |y_2| \leq t_2(|x|) \wedge (R_1(x, y_1) \vee R_2(x, y_2)) \end{aligned}$$

These show that both  $L_1 \cap L_2$  and  $L_1 \cup L_2$  belong to **NP**.

**b)** Suppose  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L_1 &\Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| \leq t_1(|x|) R_1(x, y) \\ x \in L_2 &\Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| \leq t_2(|x|) R_2(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} x \notin L_1 &\Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| \leq t_1(|x|) R'_1(x, y) \\ x \notin L_2 &\Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| \leq t_2(|x|) R'_2(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomial  $t_1, t_2$  and polytime relations  $R_1, R_2, R'_1, R'_2$  (here we use without loss of generality the same bound for two definitions of the  $L_i$ ).

Then  $L_1 \oplus L_2$  can be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L_1 \oplus L_2 &\Leftrightarrow (x \in L_1 \wedge x \notin L_2) \vee (x \notin L_1 \wedge x \in L_2) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\exists y, |y| \leq t_1(|x|) R_1(x, y) \wedge \exists y, |y| \leq t_2(|x|) R'_2(x, y)) \vee \\ &\quad (\exists y, |y| \leq t_1(|x|) R'_1(x, y) \wedge \exists y, |y| \leq t_2(|x|) R_2(x, y)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists y, |y| \leq t_1(|x|) + t_2(|x|) + 1, y = y_1 \# y_2 \wedge |y_1| \leq t_1(|x|) \wedge |y_2| \leq t_2(|x|) \wedge \\ &\quad (R_1(x, y) \wedge R'_2(x, y)) \vee (R'_1(x, y) \wedge R_2(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $L_1 \oplus L_2$  is in **NP**. Similar arguments show that  $L_1 \oplus L_2$  is in *co-NP*. Therefore  $L_1 \oplus L_2 \in \mathbf{NP} \cup \text{co-NP}$  as desired.

**Question 5** [10pt] **a)** Suppose that  $L^*$  is in **P**, then there is a Turing machine  $M$  that accepts  $L^*$  in time  $n^k$  for some constant  $k$ . We construct a polytime Turing machine  $M'$  for  $L$  as follows. The machine  $M'$  on input  $x$  of length  $n$  will append  $01^{n^2}$  to the end of  $x$  and then simulates  $M$  on  $x01^{n^2}$ .  $M'$  accepts  $x$  if and only if  $M$  accepts  $x01^{n^2}$ .

The running time of  $M'$  is  $\mathcal{O}(n^2) + n^k$  which is a polynomial in  $n$ .

**b)** We know by the Space Hierarchy Theorem that there is a language  $L$  in  $DSPACE(n^2)$  but  $L$  is not in  $DSPACE(n)$ . Since  $L$  is in  $DSPACE(n^2)$ , there is a Turing machine  $M$  that accepts  $L$  in space  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ . Construct  $M^*$  for  $L^*$  as follows. On input  $y$ ,  $M^*$  reject if  $y$  is not of the form  $x01^{n^2}$  where  $n = |x|$ . Now for  $y$  of this form,  $M^*$  simulates  $M$  on input  $x$  and accepts if and only if  $M$  accepts. Since  $M$  accepts  $L$ ,  $M^*$  accepts  $L^*$ . The space used by  $M^*$  is the maximal of (i) the space used to verify that  $y$  is of the right form and (ii) the space used by  $M$ . Here the task in (i) can be done in space  $|x|$ , and the space in (ii) is  $\mathcal{O}(|x|^2)$ , which is  $\mathcal{O}(|y|)$ . So  $M^*$  works in linear space, i.e.,  $L^* \in DSPACE(n)$ .