## Assignment 2 Solution

Instructor: Phuong Nguyen

**Question 1** Consider the reduction from SAT to 3CNF-SAT. Give the 3CNF formula that results from transforming the following formula:

$$(\neg x_1 \lor x_2) \land ((x_1 \land x_2) \lor \neg(\neg x_1 \land x_2))$$

Clearly list the new variables and the clauses. Give a satisfying truth assignment to the resulted 3CNF formula.

**Solution** The new variables are

- $q_B$  for the formula  $B = \neg x_1$ ;
- $q_C$  for the formula  $C = B \vee x_2$ ;
- $q_D$  for the formula  $D = x_1 \wedge x_2$ ;
- $q_E$  for the formula  $E = \neg x_1$ ;
- $q_F$  for the formula  $F = E \wedge x_2$ ;
- $q_G$  for the formula  $G = \neg F$ ;
- $q_H$  for the formula  $H = E \vee G$ ;
- $q_A$  for the original formula  $A = C \wedge H$ ;
- u, v to make small clauses size exactly 3.

In the first stage, we get the following clauses:

- $q_B \vee x_1, \neg q_B \vee \neg x_1,$
- $\neg q_B \lor q_C$ ,  $\neg x_2 \lor q_C$ ,  $\neg q_C \lor B \lor x_2$ ,
- $\neg q_D \lor x_1, \neg q_D \lor x_2, \neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor q_D,$
- $q_E \lor x_1, \neg q_E \lor \neg x_1,$
- $\neg q_F \lor q_E$ ,  $\neg q_F \lor x_2$ ,  $\neg q_E \lor \neg x_2 \lor q_F$ ,
- $q_G \vee q_F$ ,  $\neg q_G \vee \neg q_F$ ,
- $\neg q_E \lor q_H$ ,  $\neg q_G \lor q_H$ ,  $\neg q_H \lor q_E \lor q_G$ ,
- $\neg q_A \lor q_C$ ,  $\neg q_A \lor q_H$ ,  $\neg q_C \lor \neg q_H \lor q_A$ ,
- *q*<sub>A</sub>

Now we use u, v to replace clauses of size 1 and 2 by conjunctions of size-3 clauses. Thus the result of the transformation is the following 3CNF formula:

$$(q_{B} \lor x_{1} \lor u) \land q_{B} \lor x_{1} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{B} \lor \neg x_{1} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{B} \lor \neg x_{1} \lor \neg u) \land$$

$$(\neg q_{B} \lor q_{C} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{B} \lor q_{C} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg x_{2} \lor q_{C} \lor u) \land (\neg x_{2} \lor q_{C} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{C} \lor B \lor x_{2}) \land$$

$$(\neg q_{D} \lor x_{1} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{D} \lor x_{1} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{D} \lor x_{2} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{D} \lor x_{2} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg x_{1} \lor \neg x_{2} \lor q_{D}) \land$$

$$(q_{E} \lor x_{1} \lor u) \land (q_{E} \lor x_{1} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{E} \lor \neg x_{1} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{E} \lor \neg x_{1} \lor \neg u) \land$$

$$(\neg q_{F} \lor q_{E} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{F} \lor q_{E} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{F} \lor x_{2} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{F} \lor x_{2} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{E} \lor \neg x_{2} \lor q_{F}) \land$$

$$(q_{G} \lor q_{F} \lor u) \land (q_{G} \lor q_{F} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{G} \lor \neg q_{F} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{G} \lor \neg q_{F} \lor \neg u) \land$$

$$(\neg q_{E} \lor q_{H} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{E} \lor q_{H} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{G} \lor q_{H} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{G} \lor q_{H} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{G} \lor \neg q_{H} \lor q_{G}) \land$$

$$(\neg q_{A} \lor q_{C} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{A} \lor q_{C} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{A} \lor q_{H} \lor u) \land (\neg q_{A} \lor q_{H} \lor \neg u) \land (\neg q_{C} \lor \neg q_{H} \lor q_{A}) \land$$

$$(q_{A} \lor u \lor v) \land (q_{A} \lor u \lor \neg v) \land (q_{A} \lor \neg u \lor v) \land (q_{A} \lor \neg u \lor \neg v)$$

A satisfying truth assignment for the original formula A is  $x_1 = False, x_2 = False$ . Extend this to a satisfying truth assignment for the above 3CNF by letting  $q_A, q_b$ , etc. have the values of the corresponding subformulas A, B, etc. Thus  $q_B = True, q_C = True, q_D = False, q_E = True, q_F = False, q_F = True, q_F =$ 

Question 2 Consider the following problem. The input consists of

• an  $m \times n$  integer matrix A,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \dots & A_{2n} \\ \dots & & & & \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \dots & A_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

where all  $A_{ij}$   $(1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n)$  are integers, and

• a column vector  $\vec{b}$  of m coordinates,  $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m)$ , where all  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m$  are integers.

The problem is to decide whether there is a column vector  $\vec{x}$  of n coordinates,  $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  where each  $x_i$   $(1 \le i \le n)$  can take value either 0 or 1, such that  $A\vec{x} \le \vec{b}$ , that is, whether there exists  $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$  such that

$$A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 + \dots + A_{1n}x_n \le b_1$$

$$A_{21}x_1 + A_{22}x_2 + \dots + A_{2n}x_n \le b_2$$

$$\dots$$

$$A_{m1}x_1 + A_{m2}x_2 + \dots + A_{mn}x_n \le b_m$$

Show that the problem is NP-complete by giving a nondeterministic polytime algorithm for it, and show that 3CNF-SAT is polytime reducible to it.

## Solution

Nondeterministic polytime algorithm: The certificate is an assignment of 0-1 value to the variables  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ . The verifier works by evaluating the inequalities

$$A_{i1}x_1 + A_{i2}x_2 + \dots A_{in}x_n \le b_i$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., m.

For each i the above inequality can be evaluated in time  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ . Therefore the running time of the verifier is  $\mathcal{O}(nm)$ , i.e., polynomial in the size of the matrix.

**Reduction from 3CNFSAT**: Let  $\varphi$  be a 3CNF formula of the form

$$\varphi = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge C_m$$

where each clause  $C_i$  contains exactly three literals. Let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  be the variables of A. For each clause  $C_i$  we introduce an expression  $E_i$  as follows. For each literal  $v_j$  in  $C_i$  we have a term  $x_j$ , and for each literal  $\neg v_j$  we have a term  $(1-x_j)$ . Thus the term is 1 or 0 depending on whether the literal is True or False, and an 0-1 assignment to the variables  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  determines a truth assignment to the boolean variables  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ .

Now let  $E_i$  be the sum of three terms corresponding to three literals of  $C_i$ . Since each term takes value 0 or 1,  $E_i$  is a nonnegative integer. Moreover, for any 0-1 assignment to variables  $x_j$ ,  $E_i > 0$  precisely when at least one term in  $E_i$  is 1, i.e.,  $E_i \ge 1$  if and only if the truth assignment (to  $v_j$ ) determined by the 0-1 assignment to the  $x_j$  satisfies the clause  $C_i$ . To write the inequalities in the required form, i.e., LHS is less than RHS, we write

$$-E_i \leq -1$$

So our system of inequalities are

$$-E_1 \le -1$$
$$-E_2 \le -1$$
$$\dots$$
$$-E_m \le -1$$

To explicitly describe the matrix A:

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } v_j \text{ is a literal in } C_i \\ 1 & \text{if } \neg v_j \text{ is a literal in } C_i \\ 0 & \text{if neither } v_j \text{ nor } \neg v_j \text{ appears in } C_i \end{cases}$$

The  $b_i$  are:

$$b_i = -1 + \text{number of negative literals in } C_i$$

The coefficients of the *i*-th row of A and the value of  $b_i$  can be computed by a linear pass through the *i*-th clause of the given formula  $\varphi$ , so the matrix A and vector b can be computed in polynomial time.

**Proof of Correctness**: We prove two directions.

First, suppose that the given formula  $\varphi$  is satisfiable. Let  $\tau$  be a satisfying truth assignment to  $\varphi$ . We define a 0-1 assignment to the variables  $x_j$  that satisfies the inequalities as follows. Let  $x_j = 1$  if and only if  $\tau(v_j)$  is TRUE (otherwise  $x_j = 0$ ). The *i*-th inequality is equivalent to  $E_i \geq 1$ , and this is satisfied by the 0-1 assignment because  $\tau$  makes at least one literal in  $C_i$  true, i.e., at least one term in  $E_i$  is 1.

Second, suppose that the system of inequalities is satisfied by a 0-1 assignment to the variables  $x_i$ . Define a truth assignment  $\tau$  to the boolean variables  $v_i$  as follows:

$$\tau(v_i) = TRUE$$
 iff  $x_i = 1$ 

Consider a clause  $C_i$ . Since the corresponding expression  $E_i$  has value  $\geq 1$ , at least one term in  $E_i$  is 1, so at least one literal in  $C_i$  is TRUE, hence  $C_i$  is true under  $\tau$ . Thus  $\tau$  satisfies all clauses in  $\varphi$ , hence  $\tau$  satisfies  $\varphi$ .

A shorter proof For each 0-1 assignment to the variable  $x_j$  associate a truth a truth assignment to the boolean variables  $v_j$  by letting  $v_j$  be TRUE if and only if  $x_j = 1$ . Then the *i*-th inequality is satisfied by an 0-1 assignment if and only if the associated truth assignment satisfies the *i*-th clause of  $\varphi$ . Therefore there exists a 0-1 assignment to  $x_j$  that satisfies all inequalities if and only if there exists a satisfying truth assignment to the variables in the formula  $\varphi$ .