



# Computers in Engineering

## COMP 208

Searching and Sorting

Michael A. Hawker



# Where's Waldo?

- ✿ A common use for computers is to search for the whereabouts of a specific item in a list
- ✿ The most straightforward approach is just to start looking at the beginning and go on from there



# Linear Search

```
int linear_search(int val, int arr[], int size) {
    int i;

    for(i = 0; i < size; ++i) {
        if(arr[i] == val)
            return i;
    }
    return -1;
}
```



# Searching Sorted Lists

- ✱ Is that the way we would look up a name in the Montreal telephone directory?
- ✱ I hope not!



# Binary Search

- ✱ To search a **sorted** array, we could check the middle element
- ✱ The value we are looking for might be there
- ✱ If not we can determine whether it is in the first or second half of the array and search that smaller array



# Iterative Binary Search

```
int binary_search(int val, int arr[], int size) {
    int left = 0, right = size-1, middle;
    do {
        middle = (left + right) / 2;
        if(arr[middle] < val)
            left = middle + 1;
        else if(arr[middle] > val)
            right = middle - 1;
        else
            return middle;
    } while(left < right);
    return -1;
}
```



# Sorting Data

- ✱ Sorting is one of the most common tasks given to computers
- ✱ Much work has been done on developing efficient sorting techniques
- ✱ We have seen one method and now we consider some others



# Remember Bubble Sort?

```
void bubble_sort(int arr[], int size) {  
    int i, j;  
    for (i=0; i<size-1; i++) {  
        for (j=size-1; j>i; --j)  
            if (arr[j] < arr[j-1])  
                swap (&arr[j], &arr[j-1]);  
    }  
}
```





# An Optimization

- ✱ If no swaps are made, the array is already sorted
- ✱ We can keep track of whether any swaps were made in a pass
- ✱ If no swaps were made, the array must be sorted and we can stop

# Optimized Bubble Sort

```
void bubble_sort(int arr[], int size) {
    int i, j, swapped;
    for (i=0; i<size-1; i++){
        swapped = 0;
        for (j=size-1; j>i; --j)
            if (arr[j] < arr[j-1]){
                swap (&arr[j], &arr[j-1]);
                swapped = 1;
            }
        if (!swapped) break;
    }
}
```



# Selection Sort

- ✿ Another sorting technique is known as selection sort
- ✿ At each step, select the smallest value not yet in place and put it where it belongs
- ✿ Where's that?
- ✿ After the smaller elements at the front of the array



# Selection Sort

- ✱ In the following program, note the use of pointer arithmetic to access the array elements
- ✱ We use  $\text{arr} + i$  instead of  $\text{arr}[i]$
- ✱ As an argument  $\text{arr} + i$  represents an array with starting address  $\text{arr}[i]$



# Selection Sort

```
void select_sort(int arr[], int size) {
    int i, index_of_min;

    for(i = 0; i < size; ++i) {
        index_of_min =
            find_min(arr + i, size - i);
        swap(arr + i, arr + i + index_of_min);
    }
    return;
}
```



# Insertion Sort

- ✿ With insertion sort, we keep elements that have already been sorted at the front of the array
- ✿ At each step we look at the first of the unsorted values
- ✿ We add that value to the sorted part by “bubbling” it to the position where it belongs



# Insertion Sort

```
void insertion_sort(int arr[], int size){
    int i, j;
    for(i = 1; i < size; ++i)
        for(j = i; j; --j)
            if(arr[j] < arr[j-1])
                swap(&arr[j], &arr[j-1]);
            else
                break;
}
```



# The Cost of Algorithms

- ✱ We've seen multiple sorting algorithms
- ✱ Why is one better than the other?
- ✱ How can we measure this?
- ✱ In a uniform way?





# Finding the Maximum

- ✱ We have already seen how to find the largest value in an array
- ✱ Here is the C code for that algorithm
- ✱ This code returns the location of the largest value (rather than the value itself)



# Finding Max

```
int find_max(int arr[], int size) {  
    int i, index_of_max = 0;  
  
    for(i = 1; i < size; ++i)  
        if(arr[i] > arr[index_of_max])  
            index_of_max = i;  
  
    return index_of_max;  
}
```



# Evaluating Algorithms

- ✱ How much “work” does the computer do to find the maximum?
- ✱ Different computers run at different speeds but we can try and count operations
- ✱ That is easier said than done



# Asymptotic Analysis

- ✱ To get an approximate idea of the running time of an algorithm we count the number of operations but ignore the actual cost of each one
- ✱ The time is clearly dependent on the problem size



# The Cost of Find\_Max

- ✱ There is a loop that is executed  $n-1$  times
- ✱ Each time a constant number of operations is done
- ✱ We say the algorithm for finding the maximum value runs in  $O(n)$  time if the problem is of size  $n$



# Linear Search

- ✱ The code for linear search is similar to the code for finding the maximum value
- ✱ It differs in that the algorithm does not always have to examine all values in the array
- ✱ It can stop as soon as it finds the value
- ✱ If the value isn't there, it must go all the way to the end to find out



# Linear Search

```
int linear_search(int val, int arr[],
                  int size) {
    int i;

    for(i = 0; i < size; ++i)
        if(arr[i] == val) return i;

    return -1;
}
```



# Analysis of Linear Search

- ✱ If the value we are searching for is near the front of the array, the time taken is very small
- ✱ If the value is at the end of the array, or not in the array at all the time taken is proportional to  $n$ , i.e.  $O(n)$





# Worst Case Analysis

- ✱ When evaluating an algorithm we generally look at the worst case
- ✱ This gives us a “guaranteed” running time even if the time may be faster in many cases
- ✱ In this example we say the worst case running time is  $O(n)$




# Average Case Analysis

- ✱ In general it is difficult to determine the average time an algorithm will take
- ✱ Average case time is dependent on the distribution of the data values
- ✱ If the data is uniformly distributed and we search for a random value, the average case time for linear search is also  $O(n)$



# Binary Search

- ✱ We have also seen another algorithm for searching sorted lists, binary search
- ✱ Intuitively it seems to be much faster
- ✱ How can we show this analytically?
- ✱ How much faster is it?



# Binary Search in Sorted Arrays

```
int binary_search(int val, int arr[], int size){
    int left = 0, right = size, middle;
    do {
        middle = (left + right) / 2;

        if(arr[middle] < val)
            left = middle + 1;
        else if(arr[middle] > val)
            right = middle - 1;
        else
            return middle;

    } while(left <= right);
    return -1;
}
```



# The Cost of Binary Search

- ✱ The original list being searched had  $n$  values
- ✱ After checking the middle element we either find the value we are looking for or we reduce the problem size to  $n/2$
- ✱ In the worst case, if we don't happen to find the value, the problem size becomes  $n/4, n/8, n/16, \dots$



# The Cost of Binary Search

- ✱ The process cannot continue forever
- ✱ Eventually  $n/2^i$  becomes smaller than 1 and the value was either found or is not in the list
- ✱ This must stop after  $\log_2 n$  steps
- ✱ The cost of binary search is then  $O(\log n)$



# The Cost of Bubble Sort

- ✱ There are  $n$  passes through the array in the worst case
- ✱ Pass  $j$  takes  $n-j$  steps
- ✱ The total number of steps is  $1+2+\dots+n$
- ✱ We say this is  $O(n^2)$



# Analysis

- ✱ Is the optimized version faster?
- ✱ Yes and No.
- ✱ In practice, yes
- ✱ Asymptotically, no.
- ✱ It is still  $O(n^2)$  in the worst case





# Other Sorting Algorithms

- ✿ How about selection or insertion sort?
- ✿ They also contain nested loops
- ✿ Note that for selection sort, the inner loop is “hidden” inside the function
- ✿ In either event, the cost is  $O(n^2)$



# Can we do better?

- ✱ Sorting is an important application
- ✱ Are there faster ways to sort?
- ✱ Wait and see!