

Has applications to :

Algebraic Geometry

Representation Theory

Combinatorics

Symmetric Functions

physical statistics

S_n : the symmetric group of n elements.

$Q[x]$: The ring of polynomials in n variables

$x := x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$

$x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ where $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in N^n$

$\deg(x^\alpha) = |\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$

$$\pi_d : Q[x] \rightarrow Q[x]$$

$$\pi_d(x^a) = x^a \text{ if } |a| = d, \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

Definition 1 A subset $I \subset Q[x]$ is an **ideal** if it satisfies:

(i) $0 \in I$

(ii) If $a, b \in I$, then $a + b \in I$

(iii) if $a \in I$ and $h \in Q[x]$ then $ah \in I$

if I is an ideal then there exists a homomorphism $Q[x] \rightarrow \frac{Q[x]}{I}$.

notice $\pi_d(I) \subseteq I$

We have

$R := Q[x]$ $R = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} R_d$ with $R_d := \pi_d(R)$

and in general if V is a subspace of R , it is said to be *homogeneous* if

$V \cong \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} V_d$ with $V_d := \pi_d(V)$

The *Hilbert series* we define as

$$H_V(q) := \sum_{d \geq 0} \dim(V_d) q^d$$

for R we have:

$$\dim(R_d) = \binom{n-1+d}{d}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} H_R(q) &= \sum_{d \geq 0} \binom{n-1+d}{d} q^d \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q} \end{aligned}$$

(by generalized binomial theorem)

$$I_{S_n} := (h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_n(x))$$

with

$$h_d(x) = \sum_{|a|=d} x^a$$

($|a| = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$).

$h_d(x)$ is a polynomial symmetric invariant for S_n .

$$S_n = \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x]}{I_{S_n}}$$

Properties:

- (1) C_n is ring of cohomology of the variety of flags(??)
- (2) $\dim(C_n) = n!$
- (3) $H_{S_n}(q) = (1+q)(1+q+q^2)\dots(1+q+\dots+q^{n-1})$.

$$H_{S_n}(q) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} q^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}$$

$(-1)^{\# \text{ of crossings}} = (\sigma)$

$\#$ minimal number of crossings = $\text{inv}(\sigma)$.

$\dim(\pi_d(S_n)) =$ number of permutations which have d inversions.

0.1 Grobner Bases (of an ideal)

$$(I_{S_n}) I_n = (h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_n(x))$$

look up some examples.

0.2 Stage one choose an order monomial

(a)

Order the variables such that

$$x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots < x_n$$

(b)

order the monomials such that

$$x^\alpha < x^\beta \Rightarrow x^\alpha x^d < x^\beta x^\alpha$$

1 Grobner Bases

reference: "Using Algebraic geometry" Cox, little, O'shea

Bon ordre sur les Monomes.

$$x^a > x^b \quad x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_m$$

Compatible with multiplication.

Ex. $x^a > x^b$ soit $\deg(x^a) > \deg(x^b)$ ou $\frac{x^a}{x^b}$ l'exposant non-nul de la variable la plus grande est positif. 2 variables $x^a y^b$ if I is an ideal the polynomials have 2 variables.

$$I = (f_1(x, y), f_2(x, y), \dots, f_m(x, y))$$

$$D(f) = \text{Monomial Dominantes the } f(x, y)$$

$$f(x, y) = cx^a y^b + \dots \text{more small monomials}$$

$$D(f) = x^a y^b$$

If $f(x, y) \in I$, $x^c y^d f(x, y) \in I$. if $x^a y^b \in D(I)$ alors $x^{a+c} y^{b+d} \in D(I)$

Base De Grobner (minimale, reduite)

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k) = I$$

(1) with each monomial in $D(I)$ is divisible by l'un $D(g_l)$

(2) $D(g_i)$ do not divide aucun terms of g_l pour $i \neq l$

(A) for an order monomial gives this base is unique

(B) Effectivement calculable.

connection with stair case picture: Base vectorielle

$$f(x, y) \in \text{Attention } \frac{Q[x, y]}{I}$$

$$B = \{x^a | x^a \text{ is divisible by none of } D(g_i)\}$$

B form a base (vectorielle) of $\frac{Q[x]}{I}$

$$\dim\left(\frac{Q[x]}{I}\right) = \#B$$

$$\sum_{b \in B} q^{\deg(b)} = H_{\frac{Q[x]}{I}}(q)$$

1.1 L'exemple:

$$Q[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(h_1, \dots, h_n)$$

ou

$$h_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{|a|=k} x^a \quad a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), |a| = \sum a_i$$

$$h_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$$

$$h_2 = x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + \dots + x_1x_n + [x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + \dots + x_2x_n + \dots + x_{n-1}x_n]$$

$$= x_1h_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) + h_2(x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$h_3 = x_1h_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) + h_3(x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$h_k(y_1, \dots, y_l) = y_1h_{k-1}(y_1, \dots, y_l) + h_k(y_2, \dots, y_l)$$

$$(h_k(y_2, \dots, y_l) = h_k(y_1, \dots, y_l) - y_1h_k(y_1, \dots, y_l))$$

On A Donc

$$h_k(x_k, \dots, x_n) \in I_n$$

(Proof by recurrence)

$$D(h_k(x_k, \dots, x_n)) = x_k^k$$

$$(h_1(x), \dots, h_n(x)) = (h_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), h_2(x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, h_n(x_n))$$

Prop: Les $h_k(x_k, \dots, x_n)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, forment a Grobner basis of the ideal I_n .
Termes Dominants corresponding is

$$x_1^2, x_2^3, x_3^4, \dots, x_n^n$$

$$B = \{x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_n^{e_n} | 0 \leq e_i < i\}$$

Forms a base $\frac{Q[x]}{(h_1, \dots, h_n)}$

$$\#B = n!$$

$n = 3$ variables x, y, z
 $B = \{1, x_2, x_3, x_2x_3, x_3^2, x_2x_3^2\}$

$$A := \frac{Q[x]}{I_n}$$

$$H_A(q) = 1 + q + q + q^2 + q^2 + q^3 = 1 \cdot (1 + q)(1 + q + q^2)$$

$$H_A(q) = (1 + q)(1 + q + q^2) \dots (1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1})$$

$$Q[x] = I_n \oplus V$$

Proprieté scavaire on $Q[x]$. $d = differential operator$

$$\langle 3x^2y + xy^2, 2x^3 + x^2y - xy^2 \rangle = 3\left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \frac{d}{dy} + \frac{d}{dx} \frac{d^2}{dy^2}\right)(2x^3 + x^2y + xy^2)|_{x=0, y=0}$$

$$\langle x^a, x^b \rangle = a! \text{ if } a = b \text{ 0 otherwise}$$

$$a! = a_1! a_2! \dots a_n!$$

Propriété of (inverse system) I^\perp (complement orthogonal) D'ideaux I :

$$I^\perp := \{g(x) | [f(dx)g(x)]_{x=0} = 0\}_{\text{Terme constant for all } f(x) \in I}$$

$$I^\perp = \{g(x) | [f(dx)g(x) = 0]_{\text{plus fort!!}} \text{ for all } f(x) \in I\}$$

$$= \{g(x) | f_1(dx)g(x) = 0, f_2(dx)g(x) = 0, \dots, f_m(dx)g(x) = 0\}$$

$$I = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$$

Dans L'exemple: $g(x) \in I_n^\perp$ ssi

$$(dx_1 + dx_2 + \dots + dx_m)g(x) = 0$$

$$(d^2x_1 + d^2x_2 + \dots + d^2x_m)g(x) = 0$$

$$\dots (d^m x_1 + d^m x_2 + \dots + d^m x_m)g(x) = 0$$

Traditional

$$\nabla g(x) = 0, g(x) \text{ ext harmonic } \nabla = (d^2x_1 + d^2x_2 + \dots + d^2x_m)g(x) \text{ Laplacian}$$

$$g(x) \in I^\perp, f(x) \in I, dx^a = dx_1^{a_1} dx_2^{a_2} \dots dx_m^{a_m} x^a f(x) \in I$$

$$[A] f(dx)g(x) = cx^a + \dots$$

$$0 = dx^a f(dx)g(x)|_{x=0} = ca! \Rightarrow c = 0$$

$(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m) = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$ ou $k_k = x_1^k + \dots + x_n^k$

$$h_k = \sum c_d k_1^{a_1} k_2^{a_2} \dots k_n^{a_n}$$

$$k_l = \sum d_a h_1^{a_1} h_2^{a_2} \dots h_n^{a_n}$$

$$\sum_{l \geq 1} h_l t^l = e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} k_k \frac{t^k}{k}}$$

$$\dim(I_n^\perp) = n!$$

$$H_{I_n^\perp}(q) = 1 + (n-1)q + \dots + q^{\binom{n}{2}}$$

$$(1+q)(1+q+q^2) \dots (1+q+\dots+q^{n-1})$$

2^l observation si $g(x) \in I_n^\perp$ Alors $dx^a g(x) \in I_n^\perp$

$$f(dx)g(x) = 0 \quad \forall f \in I$$

$$f(dx)dx^a g(x) = dx^a [f(dx)g(x)]_{=0} = 0$$

DETERMINANT DE VANDERMONDE

$$\Delta_n(x) := \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$$

Proposition 1 $\Delta_n(x) \in I_n^\perp$

Proposition 2 $I_n^\perp = \{g(dx)\Delta_n(x) | g(x) \in Q[x]\}$

Proposition 3 a Basis of I_n^\perp is donnee by $\{dx^e \Delta_n | e = (e_1, \dots, e_n), 0 \leq e_i < i\}$

Preuve Que

$\{dx^e \Delta_m(x)\}_e$ lineairement independant

(avec prop 1 $\Rightarrow \dim(I_m^\perp) \geq n!$)

another order on the monomials $x_m > x_{m-1} > \dots > x_2 > x_1$

Will have the term perminant of $\Delta_n(x)$ est.

$$\delta = (0, 1, \dots, n-1) \quad \Delta_m(x) = x_m^{m-1} x_{m-1}^{m-2} \dots x_2 \pm \dots$$

$$e = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m)$$

$$dx^e = cte x^{\delta - a} \pm \dots$$

$$prop1 \Rightarrow prop2 \Rightarrow prop3$$

all decoule de prop 1 + $\dim(I_m^\perp) \leq n!$

2 Espace Coinvariant De S_n

$\frac{Q[x]}{I_n}$ Ideal Engendre' par les polynomes invariants(saus terme constant) $f(0) = 0$, $f(\sigma(x)) = f(x) \forall \sigma \in S_n$

$$\frac{Q[x]}{I_n} \cong I_n^\perp$$

$$\langle f(x), g(x) \rangle := f(dx)g(x)|_{x=0}$$

On veut voir que

$$\{f(dx)\Delta_n(x) | f(x) \in Q[x]\} \subseteq I_n^\perp$$

il suffit de verifer que

$$\Delta_n(x) = \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j) \in I_n^\perp$$

ssi

$$f(dx)\Delta_n(x) = 0$$

Pour tout $f(x)$ symetrique.

$$\sigma f(dx)\Delta_n(x) = \text{signe}(\sigma) f(dx)\Delta_n(x)$$

$$\text{deg}(f(dx)\Delta_n(x)) < \text{deg}(\Delta_n(x))$$

$\Delta_n(x)$ est le (non nul) plus petit polynomial *antisymetrique*

$$\sigma \cdot \Delta_n(x) = \text{signe}(\sigma) \cdot \Delta_n(x)$$

$$\Delta_n(x) = \det(x_i^{n-j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \det(M)$$

$$M := \begin{matrix} x_n^{n-1} & \dots & \text{ax}_n^{n-2} \\ x_{n-1}^{n-1} & \dots & \text{ax}_n^{n-2} \\ \dots & & \\ x_1^{n-1} & \dots & \text{ax}_1^{n-2} \end{matrix}$$

si $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ est antisymmetrique, alors $g(x)$ se divise par $\Delta_n(x)$ (saus reste)

Proof.

$$\sigma \cdot g(x) = \text{signe}(\sigma)g(x)$$

$$(i, j)g(x) = -g(x)$$

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n)_{i \leftrightarrow j} = 0$$

$(x_i - x_j)$ divise $g(x) \forall i \neq j$

On a vu que

$$\dim(\{f(dx)\Delta_n(x) | f(x) \in Q[x]\}) \geq n!$$

Plisque la famille

$$dx^e \Delta_n(x)$$

$$e := (e_1, \dots, e_n) \in N^n \quad 0 \leq e_i < i$$

Est lineairement ind(linear independant).

$$n! \leq \dim(I_n^\perp) = \dim\left(\frac{Q[x]}{I_n}\right)$$

Proposition 4 $\dim\left(\frac{Q[x]}{I_n}\right) = n!$

Proof. (Geometrie Algebrique 101.) (Cas S_n)

Fait # 1:

$$\frac{Q[x]}{I_n} \cong \frac{Q[x]}{GR(I)}$$

(espace vectoriel) I Homogene

$$GR(I) = (m(f(x)) | f(x) \in I)$$

$m(f(x)) :=$ Composant Homogene De Degue maximal de $f(x)$

$$m(x^2 + xy + y^2 - 2x + 3) = x^2 + xy + y^2$$

Base de Grobner avec un ordre *backwards* \in symbol $x^a > x^b$ Sideg(x^a) > deg(x^b)

$$\Rightarrow D(f(x)) = D(m(f(x)))$$

Donc

$$(g_1, \dots, g_k)$$

ssi

$$m(g_1), \dots, m(g_k)$$

Base de grobner de $GR(I)$

Fait # 2

Soit $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in Q^n$ Regulier ,i.e.: $|\{\sigma c | \sigma \in S_n\}| = n!$ this can be generalized to any group G and we have $|G|$ rather than $n!$.

$$I_c = \{f(x) \in Q[x] | f(\sigma c) = 0 \text{ pour tout } \sigma \in S_n\}$$

$$\dim\left(\frac{Q[x]}{I_c}\right) = \#\{\sigma \cdot c | \sigma \in S_n\} = n!$$

$$\frac{Q[x]}{I_c}$$

$$f(x) \equiv_{I_c} g(x) \text{ si } f(x) - g(x) \in I_c$$

$$f(\sigma \cdot c) = g(\sigma \cdot c)$$

pour tout $\sigma \in S_n$

Une Base (vectorielle) de $\frac{Q[x]}{I_c}$ peut donc etre la suivante: **il existe un polynome** $\alpha_c(x)$

$$\alpha_c(\sigma \cdot c) = 1 \text{ si } \sigma = Id \text{ sinon}$$

$$\alpha_{\sigma \cdot c}(x) := \alpha_c(\sigma^{-1}x)$$

$$\alpha_{\sigma \cdot c}(\tau \cdot \sigma) = 1 \text{ si } \tau = \sigma \text{ 0 sinon}$$

$$\{\alpha_{\sigma c}(x) | \sigma \in S_n\}$$

$$f(x) \equiv_{I_c} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f(\sigma c) \alpha_{\sigma c}(x)$$

$\alpha(x)$ Polynome interpolateur (De Lagrange)

$$\alpha_c(x) :=$$

$$\dim(Q[x]/I_n) = |\{\sigma c | \sigma \in S_n\}| = n!$$

$$\{f(x) - f(c) | f(x) \text{ symetrique}\} \subseteq I_c$$

$$\{f(x) | f(x) \text{ symetrique et homogene}\} \subseteq GR(I_c)$$

$$f(0) = 0$$

$$I_n \stackrel{?}{=} GR(I_c)$$

$$f(\sigma x) - f(\sigma c) = f(x) - f(c)$$

$$f(x) - f(c) |_{x=c} = 0$$

$$\dim(Q[x]/I_n) \leq n!$$

$$\dim\left(\frac{Q[x]}{GR(I_c)}\right) = n!$$

$$\dim\left(\frac{Q[x]}{I_n}\right) = n!$$

$$H_{S_n}(q) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1-q^k}{1-q}$$

$$L_n := \frac{Q[x]}{I_n}$$

$$H_{Q[x]}(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^n}$$

$$Q[x]^{S_n} = \{f(x) \mid \sigma \cdot f(x) = f(x) \forall \sigma \in S_n\}$$

$Q[x]_d^{S_n}$: Partage(partition??) de d en

$$Q[x] = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} Q[x]_d$$

$Q[x]_d$ composante homogene de deore d

$$Q[x]^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} Q[x]_d^{S_n}$$

$Q_d^{S_n}$ Polynome symi homogene de degreue d

$\dim(R_d^{S_n})$ =number of partages de d eu au plus n parts.

Consequences

open problem can we find a natural permutation σ so that we have $n!$ –see ameerahrahhrahrah

(1) Tout polynome admet une unique decomposition

$$p(x) = \sum_e x^e f_e(x)$$

$\{x^e\}_e$ Base de L_n

$$f_e(x) \in Q[x]^{S_n}$$

(2)

$$Q[x] \cong Q[x]^{S_n} \otimes_Q L_n \cong Q[x]^{S_n} \otimes_Q I_n^\perp$$

ESPACE DES INVARIANTS – $Q[x]^{S_n}$

L'ESPACE COINVARIANT – L_n

$$p(x) = \sum_{\sigma} dx^{e(\sigma)} \Delta_n(x) f_{\sigma}(x)$$

Autre base $f_{\sigma}(x) \in Q[x]^{S_n}$ de I_n^\perp

(3)
 $Q[x]$ est un $Q[x]^{S_n}$ - module **libre**

$$2 \Leftrightarrow H_{Q[x]}(q) = H_{Q[x]^{S_n}}(q) \cdot H_{L_n}(q)$$

Cas S_n

Si G un groupe fini de matrices $n \times n$

$$g \in G \quad gx \\
= (g_{11}x_1 + g_{12}x_2 + \dots + g_{1n}x_n, g_{21}x_1 + \dots + g_{2n}x_n, \dots, g_{n1}x_1 + \dots + g_{nn}x_n)$$

$$gp(x) = p(gx)$$

Action De G sur $Q[x] = R$

$$R^G = \{f(x) | gf(x) = f(x) \forall g \in G\} \text{- } G \text{ invariants}$$

$$I_G := \{f(x) | f(x) \in R^G, f(0, \dots, 0) = 0\}$$

$$L_G := \frac{Q[x]}{I_G}$$

Action de G preserve le degre des polynomes.

Theorem 1 (Chevaley, Sheppard-Todd, Borel...)

$R \cong R^G \otimes L_G$ si et seulement si G est un group eugendre pac des reflections.

$$H_{R^G}(q) = \prod_i \frac{1}{1 - q^{d_i}}$$

$$H_L(q) = \prod_i \frac{1 - q^{d_i}}{1 - q}$$

$$|G| = d_1 d_2 \cdots d_n$$

$$I_G^\perp = \{f(dx) \Delta_G(x) | f(x) \in Q[x]\}$$

3 Groupes fini Engendres Paz Des Reflexions

V esp. Vectoriel de dimension $n \cong R^n$ plus Produit Scalaire. H hyperplane $\dim(H) = n - 1$, v_2, \dots, v_n Base De H $v_1 \perp v_i, i \geq 2$

Reflection Dans H :

$$S_H(v_i) := -v_i \text{ si } i = 1, \quad v_i \text{ sinon}$$

$$H_a = \{v | v \perp_{(v,a)=0} a = 0\}$$

$$v \in V, (a \neq 0)$$

Reflection:

$$S_a(v) = v - 2 \frac{(v,a)}{(a,a)} \cdot a$$

(1) S_a est orthogonale

$$\langle S_a v, S_a w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$$

(2) $\det(S_a) = -1$

(3) si $\gamma : V \rightarrow V$ orthogonal

(a) $\gamma H_a = H_{\gamma(a)}$

(b) $S_{\gamma(a)} = \gamma \circ S_a \circ \gamma^{-1}$

G un sous-groupe **fini** de $GL(V)$ Qui est engendre par des reflections.

$$g \in G \quad s_{a1}, \dots, s_{at} \in G$$

$$g = s_{a1} \circ s_{a2} \circ \dots \circ s_{at}$$

$\rho := s_b \circ s_a$ est une rotation d'un angle egal $A' 2\theta$ ou' $\cos(\theta) = (a, b)$

since G is finite there exist a k such that $\rho^k = Id$, $2k\theta = 2\pi$, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{k}$.

3.1 Exemples

(1) Dihedraux

(2) Group symetrique $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$

Engendre paz les transpositions $(i, j)(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)$ Est la reflexion Dans

$$H_{ij} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | x_i = x_j\}$$

$$s_{ij} := e_i - e_j \quad e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$$

Exercise: verifier que c'est le bun groupe $\cong S_n$

(3) Groupe Hyperoctahedral

On cousidere la "famille de vectors"

$$\alpha = \{e_i - e_j, e_i + e_j, -e_i, e_i | 1 \leq i, j \leq n \quad i \neq j\}$$

H_α Engendre un groupe, $2^n n!$ elements \leadsto permutations signees.

3.2 Fair generaux sur les groupes "de reflexion"

G sous-groupe de $GL(m, R)$ matrices $m \times m$

(1) Polynomes G -invariants $P(gx) = p(x) \forall g \in G$
 R^G L'anneau de polynome G -inv.

Theorem 2 (Hilbert Noether ...)

$$R^G \cong R[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n] \text{ ou } f_i \text{ soni}$$

Des Polynomes G -invariants Homogenes. $f \in R^G$ ssi on peut ecrive. f de unique sous la forme

$$f = \sum a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k} f_{i_1}^{b_{i_1}} f_{i_2}^{b_{i_2}} \dots f_{i_k}^{b_{i_k}}$$

mais les f_i ne sont **pas unique** cependant

$$d_1 := \deg(f_1) \dots d_n := \deg(f_n)$$

$$d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$$

est unique $\#G = d_1 d_2 \dots d_n$ # De reflections = $(d_1 - 1) + (d_2 - 1) + \dots + (d_n - 1)$ Dans G .

pour S_n

$$d_1 = 1, d_2 = 2, \dots, d_n = n$$

$$\#G = n!$$

$$\#reflections = 0 + 1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 1) = \binom{n}{2}$$

Choix possibles pour les f_i : (1)

$$e_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 + \dots + x_n$$

$$e_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1} x_n$$

....

$$(2) h_1 = e_1, h_2 = \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$$

(3)

$$k_i = x_1^i + x_2^i + \dots + x_n^i \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$$

sont algebraic independent. get from ameerah

$$\text{Pour } B_n \quad d_1 = 2, d_2 = 4, d_3 = 6, \dots, d_n = 2n$$

$$\#G = 2^n n!$$

$$\#reflections = 1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n - 1) = n^2$$

$$\binom{n}{2} + \binom{n}{2} + n = n^2$$

$$R^{B_n} = \{f(x_1^2, \dots, x_n^2) | f \in R^{S_n}\}$$

$$x_i \rightarrow -x_i$$

Chevalley-Sheppard-Todd-Bourbaki (50-60) 1 G est un sous-groupe finie de $GL(R^n)$ engendré par réflexions (aussi pour $GL(C^n)$ avec adaptations) Géométrie **Engendré par des réflexions** ssi

"algébrique" $R^G \cong R[f_1, \dots, f_n]$ par certains fi homog

équivalent

$$H_{R^G}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - q^{d_i})} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \dim(R_d^G) q^d$$

$$R = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} R_d$$

Gradue action de group respecte le degre

$$x_i \rightarrow a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_n x_n$$

$$R^C = \bigoplus_{d=0}^{\infty} R_d^G$$

Pas un groupe de reflexion

$$H_{R^G}(q) = \frac{\sum s_j q^j}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - q^{d_i})} \quad a_j \in \mathbb{N}$$

ssi R est libre comme R^G - module ssi

$$\dim\left(\frac{R}{I_G}\right) = \#G$$

I_G idéal engendré par f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n .

$$H_{\frac{R}{I_G}}(q) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1 - q^{d_i}}{1 - q}$$

$$R \cong R^G \otimes \frac{R}{I_G}$$

ssi

$$\#G = d_1 d_2 \dots d_n$$

ssi

$$\dim(I_G^\perp) = \#G$$

$$I_G^\perp = R[\delta x^\alpha \Delta_G(x) | \alpha \in N^n]$$

ou

$$\delta_G(x) = \prod_{S_\alpha \text{ une reflection dans } G} (x, \alpha)$$

produit scalaire

$$(x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_n a_n)$$

$$\text{deg}(\Delta_G(x)) = (d_1 - 1) + (d_2 - 1) + \dots + (d_n - 1)$$

reference: Richard Kane "reflection groups and invariant theory"
Richard Humphreys reflection groups and Coxeter groups.

4 Dimension and Hilbert Series

Pour certaines generalisations des espaces coinvariants

Le Groupe Du jour: S_n

$$Q[x, y] \quad x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$$

$$y = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$$

$$S_n \times Q[x, y] \rightarrow Q[x, y]$$

$$\sigma x_i = x_{\sigma(i)}, \sigma y_i = y_{\sigma(i)}$$

$$f(x, y) \in Q[x, y]$$

$$\sigma \cdot f(x, y) = \text{signe}(\sigma) \cdot f(x, y)$$

$$L_\sigma[f(x, y)] := \{g(dx, dy) f(x, y) | g(x, y) \in Q[x, y]\}$$

Eugendre par (spanned by)

$$dx^a dy^b f(x, y)$$

$$dx_1^{a_1} dx_2^{a_2} \dots dx_n^{a_n} dy_1^{b_1} \dots dy_n^{b_n} f(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

si on a $f(x)$, alors $L_d[f(x)] \subseteq Q[x]$

$$f(x, y) \in Q[x, y]$$

$$\sigma \cdot f(x, y) = \text{signe}(\sigma) \cdot f(x, y)$$

(1) $L[f(x, y)]$ est invariant our l'action de S_n si $g(x, y) \in L_d[f(x, y)]$ alors $\sigma g(x, y) \in L_d[f(x, y)]$

(2) $L_d[f(x, y)]$ est bihomogene et de dimension finie . Opinateur lineaire sur $Q[x, y]$

$$\prod_{kl} (x^a y^b) = x^a y^b \text{ si } |a| = k \text{ and } |b| = l \text{ 0 sinon}$$

si $f(x, y)$ est bihomogene.

(3) Serie de Hilbert Bigraduee

$$H_n(q, t) := \sum_{k,l} \dim(m_{k,l}) q^k t^l$$

$$\prod_{k,l} f(x, y) = \sum_{|a|=k, |b|=l} f_{ab} x^a y^b$$

Composante bihomogene de bidegne (k, l) de $f(x, y)$

Un sous-espace $V \subseteq Q[x, y]$ bihomogene ssi

$$\prod_{k,l} (V) \subseteq V$$

Pour tout $k, l \in N$

si $f(x, y) \in V$ alors $\prod_{k,l} (f(x, y)) \in V \text{ for all } k, l$

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{k+l \leq \deg(f)} \prod_{k,l} (f(x, y)) \text{ (dans } Q[x, y])$$

Autrement dit

$$Q[x, y] = \bigoplus_{k,l} R_{k,l} \text{ ou } R_{k,l} = \prod_{k,l} (R)$$

$Q[x, y]$ est bigradue par le bidegore. $R := Q[x, y]$

4.1 Example

(1) $\Delta_m(x) := \det(x_i^{n-j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ M Harmoniques de S_n dimension $n!$, Serie de Hilbert $\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1-q^k}{1-q}$

$$d_\lambda(q) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1-q^k}{1-q}$$

$$d_\lambda(q) \in N[q]$$

(B-Garcia-Tesler (2000))

(2)

$$\det(x_i^{a_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$$

$$(a_1 > a_2 \dots > a_n) := \alpha \geq 0, a_j \in N$$

$$:= \Delta_a(x)L[\Delta_a(x)] M_a$$

$$\lambda_j := a_j - (n - j) \in N$$

$$n - 1 > n - 2 > \dots > 1 > 0$$

$$(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n)_{Partage} \geq 0$$

Stanley 1979

let

$$\alpha = (5, 4, 3), \lambda = (3, 3, 3) = 3^3, n = 3$$

$$d_{k^n} = \sum_{\mu \subseteq k^n} q^{|\mu|}$$

$$\alpha = (k + n - 1, \dots, k + 1, k)$$

$$\lambda = k^n := (k, k, \dots, k)$$

$$d_{k^n}(1) = \binom{n+k}{n}$$

$$d_{k^n}(q) = \binom{n+k}{n}_q$$

Exersize

Montrer que

$$\sum_{\mu \subseteq k^n} q^{|\mu|} =$$

$$\dim(M_{k^n + \delta n}) = (n+k)(n+k-1) \cdots (k+1)$$

En General

$$d_\lambda(q)$$

$$= \dim(Q[S_{\lambda/\mu} | \mu \subseteq \lambda]) \leq \#\{\mu | \mu \subseteq \lambda\}$$

$$\mu_1 \leq \lambda_1, \dots, \mu_k \leq \lambda_k$$

$S_{\lambda/\mu}$ fonction de Schur Gauches

$$S_{\lambda/\mu} := \sum_{T: \text{Tableau semi-standart de forme } \lambda/\mu} Z_t$$

$$z_i, 1 \leq i < \infty$$

δ – partial derivative

$$I_\alpha := \{f(x) \mid f(\delta x)\Delta_\alpha(x) = 0\}$$

$$= L_\delta[\Delta_\alpha(x)]^\perp$$

Remarque

$\Delta_\alpha(x) = f_\alpha(x)\Delta_n(x)$ pour $f_\alpha(x)$ polynome symmetrique

$$f_\alpha(x) = S_\sigma(x)$$

$$\sigma \cdot \frac{\Delta_\alpha(x)}{\Delta_n(x)} = \frac{\sigma\Delta_\alpha(x)}{\sigma\Delta_n(x)}$$

$$\frac{\text{sign}(\sigma)\Delta_\alpha(x)}{\text{sign}(\sigma)\Delta_n(x)} = \frac{\Delta_\alpha(x)}{\Delta_n(x)}$$

on cherche $g(x) \ni$

$$g(\delta x)\Delta_\alpha(x) = 0$$

si $g(x)$ est symmetric de degre assez grand

$$\cdot x = x \cdot \delta x + ID$$

operateurs

$$x \cdot (-) : f(x) \rightarrow xf(x)$$

(3) μ un partage, $\mu \in N^2$ Ferrers diagram. $(i, j) \in \mu$ abus de langage (A)

$$S : (k, l) \ni k \leq i \leq l$$

$$\text{alurs}(i, j) \in \mu \Rightarrow (k, l) \in \mu$$

(B)

$$\mu \subseteq N^2 \# \mu < \infty$$

Exemples

$$\Delta_\mu(x, y) := \det(x_i^a y_i^b)_{1 \leq i \leq b}$$

$(a, b) \in \mu$

$$\Delta_\mu(x, y) = \det(1 \ x_i \ y_i^i \ x_i y_i)_{\text{row } i}$$

Theorem 3 $\dim(L_\delta[\Delta_\mu(x, y)]) = n!$ (pas de preuve elementaire ou combinatoire.) Geometrique algebrique(j, 101).

5 Theorie De La Representation(De S_n)

$$V = \bigoplus_{k,l \geq 0} V_{k,l}$$

Espace Vectoriel $\dim(V_{k,l}) < \infty$.

$$S_n \times V \rightarrow V$$

Action Lineaire . Bigraduee'.

- (1) $V \xrightarrow{\sigma}_{Linear} V$
- (2) $\sigma(V_{k,l}) \subseteq V_{k,l} \rightsquigarrow S_n \times V_{k,l} \rightarrow V_{k,l}$
- (3) $\sigma(\tau(-)) = (\sigma\tau)(-)$
- (4) $Id(-) = Id_V$

Fait 1 Toute representation se deconpose (de facon unique)eu representations irreductibles.

Fait 2 Les Representations irreductible de S_n sont classifiees par les partage de n . Autoreuent dit. Si W est irreductible alors W est isomorphe a exactement un V_λ .

$\lambda \perp n$ (λ partage de n) ou' les V_λ sont certains representants "‘canoniques’" des rep irred.

Fait 3 on sait tout dune representation quand on commait son caractere

$$S_n \times W \rightarrow W \text{ ActionLinear}$$

$$\chi_W : S_n \rightarrow C$$

$$\chi_w(\sigma) := Trace(\sigma(-))$$

Fait 4

$$v \cong W \text{ ssi } \chi_V = \chi_W$$

$$\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$$

$$(1) \Leftrightarrow \chi_W = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} n_\lambda^w \chi_\lambda$$

$$\text{ou } \chi_\lambda := \chi_{V_\lambda}$$

$$n_\lambda^W \in N$$

$$n = P_1^{n_1} P_2^{n_2} \dots P_k^{n_k}, P_i \text{ Premiers}$$

5.1 Transforme de Frobenius

$$X : S_n \rightarrow C \rightsquigarrow \text{fonctionsymmetric}$$

$$X_1 + X_2 \rightsquigarrow \text{somme}$$

Produit scalar \rightsquigarrow scalar product
 X_λ irreducible \rightsquigarrow Fonction de schur S_λ

Reformule

$$F_w = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} n_\lambda^W S_\lambda$$

Definition Directe du frobenius de W .

$$F_W := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{Trace}(\sigma(-)) h_{\lambda(\sigma)}$$

ou $\lambda(\sigma)$ = le partage qui decrit la structure cyclique de σ .

$$h_k = z_1^k + z_2^k + \dots$$

Fonction Symetrique Somme de puissance(infinite de variables) Remarque

Les h_k sont algebriquement independants

Les h_λ sont lineairement independants

σ est conjuge a τ

$$\sigma = \phi^{-1} \tau \phi \quad \phi \in S_n$$

ssi

$$\lambda(\sigma) = \lambda(\tau)$$

$$\text{Trace}(\sigma(-)) = \text{Trace}(\tau(-))$$

Parce Que

$$\text{Trace}(P^{-1}AP) = \text{Trace}(A)$$

Il y une facon naturelle dindeter les representatious irreductibles de S_n de facon A ce Que

$$S_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \chi_\lambda(\sigma_\mu) \frac{h_\lambda}{Z_\mu}$$

Ou σ_μ est ni importe quelle permutation telle que

$$\lambda(\sigma_\mu) = \mu \text{ "structurecyclique"}$$

Exemple: $\mu = 3221$ On deut choisir

$$\sigma_n = (123)(45)(67)(8)$$

$$Z_\mu = 1^1 \cdot 1! s^2 2! 3^1 \cdot 1!$$

$$Z_\mu = 3^5 \cdot 5! 4^2 \cdot 2! 5^3 \cdot 3!$$

Supposons connus les $X_\lambda(\mu) := X_\lambda(\sigma_\mu)$ C'est a dire la matrice carre .

Voir Daus un bon livre ou avec maple.

(1) $\{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \perp n}$ forment une base de fonct. sym. homogenius de degre n .

(2) Orthonormale pour le produit scalaire

$$\langle h_\lambda, h_\mu \rangle := z_\lambda \text{ si } \lambda = \mu \quad 0 \text{ sinon}$$

$$\langle S_\lambda, S_\mu \rangle = 1 \text{ si } \lambda = \mu, \quad 0 \text{ sinon}$$

$$n_\lambda^W = \langle F_W, S_\lambda \rangle$$

Frobenius Bigradue(Bigraded)

$$F_W(q, t) := \sum_{k, l \geq 0} q^k t^l F_{V_{k, l}}$$

Donc

$$F_V(q, t) = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} n_\lambda(q, t) S_\lambda$$

ou $n_\lambda(q, t) = N[q, t]$

example:

$$n_\lambda(q, t) = \frac{1}{1 - (q+t)} = 1 + (q+t) + (q+t)^2 \dots$$

Combinatoirue.

Exemples:

$$F_{C[x, y]} = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} n_\lambda(q, t) S_\lambda$$

,

$C[x, y]$ polynomes eu les variables

$$x_1, \dots, x_n$$

$$y_1, \dots, y_m$$

$n_\lambda(q, t) = ?$ $n_\mu(q, t) =$ coefficient de $S_{11\dots 1}$ dans $F_{Q[x, y]}(q, t)$. $S_{11\dots 1}$ est le frobenius de la representation triviale $\sigma P(x, y) = P(x, y)$

$$Q[x, y] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \perp n} \bigoplus_{k, l \geq 0} u_{k, l}^\lambda$$

ou $U_{k, l}^\lambda$ est une srme directe de copies de V_λ .

$$n_m(q, t) = \sum_{k, l} n_{k, l}^{1^m} q^k t^l$$

ou

$$n_{k, l}^{1^n} = \dim(\{P(x, y) | (x, y) = P(x, y) \text{ tout } \sigma \in S_n \text{ et bihomogene de bideore}(k, l)\})$$

Par exemple: $n = 3$ Bidegre : Dimension

$$(0, 0) : 1, (1, 0) : 1, (0, 1) : 1, (1, 1) : 2$$

we will write n for 11111... n ones

$m_n(q, t) =$ Coefficient de S_n dans $F_{Q[x,y]}(q, t)$

$$= \sum_{k,l \geq 0} m_{k,l}^n q^k t^l$$

$m_{k,l}^n = \dim(\{P(x, y) | (x, y) = \text{signe}(\sigma)P(x, y), \text{ pur tout } \sigma P(x, y) \text{ Bihomogene de bideone } (k, l)\})$

$L_d[\Delta_\mu] =$ combinaisons lineaires des derivevs part de $\Delta_\mu(x, y)$ $\Delta_\mu(x, y) = \det\{1 x_i, y_i\} i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

$$= (x_2 y_3 - x_3 y_2) - (x_1 y_3 - x_3 y_1) + (x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1)$$

$$F_{M_\mu} = S_3 + (q + t)S_{21} + qtS_{111}$$

Dimension = 6 Un sous-espace de dimension 1 en bidegre (1, 1) constitue de polynome alternes (antisymetriques diaganaux)

$$= \{dtl\Delta_\mu(x, y)\}$$

$$\Delta_\mu$$

$$dx_1 \rightarrow y_2 - y_3$$

$$+dx_2 \rightarrow y_3 - y_1$$

$$+dx_3 \rightarrow y_1 - y_2$$

Theorem 4 (2001 *Haiman*)

$$F_{M_\mu}(q, t) = H_\mu(Z; q_1 t)$$

(polynome symetriques de macdonald 1987. Auire definition via la theorie des fonctions sym.)

6 Un calcul Elementaire De

$$F_{C[x]}(q) = \sum_{d \geq 0} q^d \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{Trace}(\sigma \cdot (-)|_{R_d}) p_\lambda(\sigma)$$

$$R := C[x], R =_{d \geq 0} R_d$$

Remarque 1:

$$\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f_\sigma p_A(\sigma) = \sum_{\mu \perp n} f_\mu \frac{p_\mu}{z_\mu}$$

Avec $f_\sigma = f_\tau$ si $\sigma = \phi^{-1}\tau\phi$ pour un $\phi \in S_n$ ($\lambda(\sigma) = \lambda(\tau)$). $\frac{n!}{Z_\mu}$ est le nombre de τ conjugué à σ , si $\lambda(\sigma) = \mu$. $\mu = 1^{d_1}2^{d_2}\dots n^{d_n}$ $\sum_k d_k = n$ $d_i = \#$ cycles de long i dans σ

$$Z_\mu = 1^{d_1}d_1!2^{d_2}d_2!\dots n^{d_n}d_n!$$

$$= \sum_{\mu \perp n} \frac{p_\mu}{Z_\mu} \left(\sum_{d \geq 0} \text{Trace}(\sigma(-)|_{R_d}) q^d \right)$$

Base de R_d constituée des X
tesque $|\alpha| = d$

$$\alpha = x_{\sigma(1)}^{a_1} x_{\sigma(2)}^{a_2} \dots x_{\sigma(n)}^{a_n} = X^\beta$$

$$\text{Trace}(\sigma(-)|_{R_d}) = \#\{x^\alpha | \sigma^\alpha = x^\alpha\}$$

$$p_\mu = p_1^{d_1} p_1^{d_1} \dots p_n^{d_n}$$

$$= \sum_{\mu \perp n} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i}{i(1-q^i)} \right)^{d_i} \cdot \frac{1}{d_i!}$$

6.1 Substitution Plethystique

S_n Fonction de Schur associée au partage

$$S_n = \sum_{\mu \perp n} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i}{i} \right)^{d_i} \frac{1}{d_i!}$$

”Operation” ‘sure les fonction symétrique, ”evaluation”’ des.
 Définient comme suit: Règles de calcul

$$p_k[x + y] := p_k[x] + p_k[y]$$

$$p_k[x \cdot y] := p_k[x] \cdot p_k[y]$$

$$p_k[q \cdot x] = q^k p_k[x]$$

$$p_k[ax] = a p_k[x]$$

q variable, a cte : Attention les calculs ne commutent pas avec l’évaluation.
 si f et g sont fonction symétriques alors

$$(fg)[x] = f[x]g[x]$$

$$(af + bg)[x] = af[x] + bg[x]$$

Penser Que p_k est l'operation qui consiste A' elever A' la puisaase k les variables.

$$p_k[x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n] = x_1^k + x_2^k + \dots + x_n^k$$

$$Z = z_1 + z_2 + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_k\left[\frac{z}{1-q}\right] &= p_k[Z \cdot (1 + q + q^2 + \dots)] \\ &= p_k\left[\sum_{i,j} z_i q^j\right] \\ &= \sum_{i,j} z_i^k q^{kj} \\ &= \frac{p_k[Z]}{1 - q^k} \end{aligned}$$

6.2 Calcul des fonctions symetriques(\exists preuve combinatoire)

$$S_n = \sum_{\mu \perp n} \frac{p_\mu}{Z_\mu}$$

$$S_\lambda = \det(S_{\lambda_i + j - i}) \text{ i Ligne, j colones}$$

$$S_{332} = \det(S_{\lambda_i - j - i} \text{ i Ligne j colones})$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_3 & S_4 & S_5 \\ S_2 & S_3 & S_4 \\ S_0 & S_1 & S_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S_{332} = S_3^2 S_2 + S_4^2 + S_5 S_2 S_1 - S_4 S_3 S_1 - S_4 S_2^2 - S_5 S_3$$

Theorem 5

$$\langle S_\lambda, S_\mu \rangle = (1 \text{ si } \lambda = \mu \text{ ou } 0 \text{ si } \lambda \neq \mu) \text{ ou } \langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle := Z_\mu$$

Schure Gauches definient par $\langle S_{\lambda/\mu}, S_V \rangle = \langle S_\lambda, S_\mu S_V \rangle$

Surprise

Non-Surprise $S_\mu S_V = \sum_{\lambda \perp |\mu| + |V|} c_{\mu V}^\lambda S_\lambda$

Surprise $c_{\mu v} \in \mathbb{N}$!! Coeff de littlewood-Richardson.

$$\langle S_\mu S_V, S_\lambda \rangle = c_{\mu v}^\lambda$$

$$S_{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_{v \perp |\lambda| - |\mu|} c_{\mu v}^\lambda S_v$$

6.3 Formules

$$S_\lambda[x+y] = \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} S_\mu[x] S_{\lambda/\mu}[y]$$

$$S_\lambda[x] = (x^n \text{ si } \lambda = (n) \text{ 0 sinon}$$

$$S_\lambda[x^n] = \det(A)$$

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} S_{\lambda_1}[x] & S_{\lambda_1+1}[x] & \dots \\ S_{\lambda_2-1}[x] & S_{\lambda_2}[x] & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & S_{\lambda_k}[x] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \dots$$

$$\S_\lambda[x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k] = 0 \text{ si } k < \# \text{parts de } \lambda$$

$$S_{\frac{\lambda}{\mu}}[x] = 0 \text{ si } \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \text{ contient}$$

$$S_\lambda[(x_1 + x_2 + \dots) + x_k] = \sum_{T \text{ Tableau semi-standard de forme } \lambda} X_T$$

6.4 Bases Duales

$$\Lambda_d := \text{combinaisons lineaires de } p_n, \mu \perp d$$

$$\dim(\Lambda_d) = \# \text{ partages de } d.$$

$\{S_\lambda\}_{\lambda \perp d}$ base orthonormale

$$\langle p_{\lambda_1}, p_\mu \rangle := \delta_{\lambda\mu} Z_\mu$$

$\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \perp n}$ et $\{V_\mu\}_{\mu \perp n}$ sont des bases duales ssi

$$\langle U_\lambda, V_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu} \text{ (Delta de kronecker)}$$

Prop: (Exersize diag. Lin)

$\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \perp n}$ et $\{V_\mu\}_{\mu \perp n}$ sont Duales

ssi(*) (*) iff

$$S_n[xy] = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} U_\lambda[x] V_\lambda[y]$$

(*)

$$S_n[xy] = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} \lambda \perp n U_\lambda[x] V_\lambda[y]$$

Avec $U_\lambda[x] = p_\lambda[x]$

$$V_\mu[x] = \frac{p_\mu[x]}{Z_\mu}$$

$$\text{puisque } S_n = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} \frac{p_\lambda}{Z_\lambda}$$

$$p_\lambda[xy] = p_\lambda[x] p_\lambda[y] < p_\lambda, \frac{p_n}{Z_\mu} > = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$$

1 consequence

$$S_n\left[\frac{Z}{1-q}\right] = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} S_\lambda\left[\frac{1}{1-q}\right] S_\lambda[Z]$$

Cas Special $\lambda = (n)$

$$S_n\left[\frac{1}{1-q}\right] = ?$$

$$S_3 = \frac{p_1^3}{6} + \frac{p_2 p_1}{2} + \frac{p_3}{3}$$

$$S_3\left[\frac{1}{1-q}\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} \left(\frac{1}{1-q}\right)^3 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{1-q^2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1-q}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{(1-q^3)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} \left(\frac{(1+q)(1+q+q^2)}{6} + \frac{(1-q^3)}{2} + \frac{(1-q)(1-q^2)}{3} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} \cdot 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} S_3 + \dots$$

$R = C[x]$, $R \cong R^{S_n} \otimes R_{S_n}$ isomorphism de representation

$$S_n\left[\frac{Z}{1-q}\right] = F_R(q) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right) \cdot F_{R_{S_n}}(q)$$

7 new thing

$$S_n[x] := \sum_{|\alpha|=k} x^\alpha$$

$$x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n \text{ aussi } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 0} S_k[x] z^k &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - x_i z} \\ &= \exp(\log(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - x_i z})) = \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \log(\frac{1}{1 - x_i z})) \\ &= \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(x_i z)^j}{j}) \\ &= \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j} (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^j)) \\ &= \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j} p_j[x]) \end{aligned}$$

$$S_n[xy] = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} u_\lambda[x] v_\lambda[y]$$

ssi

$$\langle u_\lambda, v_\mu \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$$

$$xy = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots)(y_1 + y_2 + \dots) = \sum_{i,k} x_i y_k$$

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} S_k[xy] z^k = \prod_{i,k} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_k z} \text{ (Noyau De Cauchy)}$$

$$= \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^j}{j} p_j[xy])$$

$$\Omega := \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_j}{j})$$

'un operateur'

$$p_j[zxy] = z^j p_j[xy]$$

p_j est l'operateur \ni

$$p_j[x_1 + x_2 + \dots] = x_1^j + x_2^j + \dots + x_n^j$$

7.1 Involution ω

ω lineaire et multiplicatif, $\omega(p_k) := (-1)^{k-1} p_k$.

Properties

(1) $\omega^2 = ID$

(2) $\omega(S_\lambda) = S_{\lambda'}$ (λ' is the transpose of the diagram of λ).

Cas special: $\omega(S_n) = S_{111\dots 1_{n-fois}}$

Exersice: Prover (2) en utilisant Jacobi-Trudi.

$$\Omega[x + y] = \Omega[x] \cdot \Omega[y]$$

$$\Omega[-x] = \frac{1}{\Omega[x]}$$

8 Polynomes De MacDONALD

DePart $n = 4$

$m_{1111}, m_{211}, m_{22}, m_{31}, m_4$.

$m_\alpha[x] =$ Some de monome x^v tels que α est la liste obtenue en triant v de facon decroissante.

$$x^v = x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2} \dots$$

$$v = (0, 4, 2, 1, 5, 0, 0, 3, 2, \dots)$$

$$\alpha = 54321000\dots$$

Ordre croissant lex. Des Partages de ' n '.

$$\langle p_\lambda, p_\mu \rangle_{q,t} := \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \frac{(1 - q^{\lambda_i})}{1 - t^{\lambda_i}} \right) z_\lambda \text{ si } \lambda = \mu \text{ 0 si } \lambda \neq \mu$$

Algorithm D'orthogonalisation De Gram-Schmidt. Resultat: $P_\mu[z; q, t]$

Specialisations:

$q = t = 0$ $P_\mu[z; 0, 0] = S_\mu$

$q = 0$ $P_\mu[z; 0, t]$ Hall - Littlewood

$q = t^\alpha + \lim_{t \rightarrow 1}$ Jack

Clairement unde base

$$P_{31} = m_{31} + \frac{a_{22}(q, t)}{b_{22}(q, t)} m_{22} + \frac{a_{211}(q, t)}{b_{211}(q, t)} m_{211} + \frac{a_{1111}(q, t)}{b_{1111}(q, t)} m_{1111}$$

$a_\lambda, b_\lambda \in Q[q, t]$

$\lambda \geq \mu$ ssi $\lambda_1 \geq \mu_1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \geq \mu_1 + \mu_2, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \geq \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 \dots$

Theorem 1 *Il existe(explicite) un operateur lineaire sur l'espace des foncti sym pour lequel les polynomes de macdonald sont des fonctions profres avec des valeurs profres distinctes.*

Proposition 5 *Le result dans la definition est le meme quelque soit l'extension lineaire de l'ordre de la dominance choisit pour executer L'algorithm.*

$$P_\mu = m_\mu + \sum_{\lambda \leq \mu} \frac{a_\lambda(q, t)}{b_\lambda(q, t)} m_\lambda$$

$$P_\mu = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} \frac{a'_\lambda(q, t)}{b'_\lambda(q, t)} p_\lambda$$

8.1 See Handout Chapter 9

$$H_\mu[z; 1, 1] = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} f_\lambda S_\lambda =_{RSK \text{ correspondence}} (S_1)^n = (z_1 + z_2 + \dots)^n$$

8.2 Approche Combinatoire(Pure)

$$H_\mu[z; q, t] = \sum_{f: \mu \rightarrow N^+ q^{inv(f)} t^{maj(f)} z_f$$

z_f : fonc symmetric monomial. Consequence

$$= \sum_{\lambda \perp n} G_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) m_\lambda$$

$$G_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) \in N[q, t]$$

$$maj(f) = \sum_{c \in Des(f)} l(c) + 1$$

$$Inv(f) = \{(c, d) | f(c) > f(d) \text{ d dans la region}\}$$

$$inv(f) = \#Inv(f) - \sum_{c \in Des(f)} a(c)$$

8.3 Representations Bigraduees

$$F_{L_d[\Delta_\mu]}(q, t) = H_\mu[z; q, t]$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \perp n} k_{\lambda\mu}(1, 1) S_\lambda = (S_1)^n$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(L_d[\Delta_\mu]) = n!$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \perp n} k_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) S_\lambda$$

↑ Multiplicite Bigraduees de rep irreducibles.

$$M_\mu := L_\delta[\Delta_\mu]$$

$$\Delta_\mu = \det(x_i y_i^b)_{(a,b) \in \mu, 1 \leq i \leq n}$$

$$M_n = L_\delta[\Delta_n] = I_n^\perp$$

$$I_n = (f(x) | f \text{ sym and } f(0) = 0)$$

$$\dim(M_n) = n!$$

$$F_{m_n}(q, t) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k) S_n\left[\frac{z}{1 - q}\right]$$

$$Q[x] \cong Q[x] \otimes M_n$$

Isomorphisme De S_n - module

M_n Polynomes Harmoniques pour $S_n \cong \frac{Q[x]}{I_n}$.

$$\Delta_n(x, y) = \prod_{i>j} (x_i - x_j)$$

$$S_n = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}$$

$$S_n\left[\frac{z}{1 - q}\right] = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} \frac{1}{z_\lambda} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k) p_\lambda\left[\frac{z}{1 - q}\right]$$

$$z = z_1 + z_2 + \dots$$

$$\sum_{\lambda \perp n} k_{\lambda_1}(n)(q, t) s_\lambda = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k) S_n\left[\frac{z}{1 - q}\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\lambda \perp n} \frac{1}{z_\lambda} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k) p_\lambda \left[\frac{z}{1 - q} \right] \\
\downarrow \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{z_\lambda} (1 - q)^n \prod_{k=1}^n (1 + \dots + q^{k-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{p_{\lambda_i}}{(1 - q)(1 + q + \dots + q^{\lambda_i - 1})} \\
&= p_1^n
\end{aligned}$$

$$S_n \left[\frac{z}{1 - q} \right] = \sum_{\lambda \perp n} S_\lambda \left[\frac{1}{1 - q} \right] S_\lambda$$

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k) S_\lambda \left[\frac{1}{1 - q} \right] = \sum_{T \text{ tableau standard de forme } \lambda} q^{c(T)}$$

$$F_\mu(q, t) = \sum_{\lambda \perp \mu} k_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) S_\lambda$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) &\in N[q, t] \\
&=_{thm} H_\mu[z; q, t]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mu \rightsquigarrow \mu' \quad \Delta_\mu(x, y) = \Delta_{\mu'}(y, x)$$

$B(f) = (deg_x(f), deg_y(f))$ $k_{\lambda\mu}(q, t) \in N[q, t]$
 $f(x, y)$ Bihomogene
 $\delta x^\alpha \delta y^\beta \Delta_\mu$ est bihomogene

$$(1) F_\mu(q, t) = F_{\mu'}(t, q)$$

$$(2) \langle S_n, F_\mu \rangle = 1$$

$$(3) \downarrow_\mu f(x, y) := f(\delta x, \delta y) \Delta_\mu(x, y)$$

$$(1) \downarrow_\mu: M_\mu \rightarrow M_{\mu'} \text{ inversible}$$

(2) si $f(x, y)$ est Bihomogene de bioegene (k, l)

alors $\downarrow_\mu f(x, y)$ est de bidegre $(n(\mu') - k, n(\mu) - l)$

(3) \downarrow_μ est un anti - isomorphisme de representation

$$\langle S_\mu, F_\mu(q, t) \rangle = q^{n(\mu')} t^{n(\mu)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\downarrow M_\mu}(q, t) &= q^{n(\mu')} t^{n(\mu)} \omega F_{M_\mu}(q^{-1}, t^{-1}) \\
\downarrow_\mu f(x, y) \Delta_\mu(x, y) &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow \langle f, \Delta_\mu \rangle &= 0 \\
f &\in M_\mu^\perp
\end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \langle S_n, F_\mu \rangle = q^{n(\mu')} t^{n(\mu)}$$

$$(5) F_\mu(0, 1) = h_\mu := S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu_2} \dots S$$

Livre: Bruce Sagan.

9 Polynomes Harmoniques Diagonaux

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= x_1, \dots, x_n, y = y_1, \dots, y_n \\
S_n \times R &\rightarrow R, R = \mathbb{Q}[x, y] \\
\sigma \cdot x_i &= x_{\sigma(i)} \\
\sigma \cdot y_i &= y_{\sigma(i)}
\end{aligned}$$

Une Base Algébrique:

$$p_{k,j}(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k y_i^j$$

Est-ce que $\{p_{k,j}(x, y)\}_{k+j \leq n}$ est une base algébrique, et algébriquement indépendants? L'idéal engendré par les $p_{k,j}(x, y), k+j \neq 0$. Est noté J_n
 $D_n := J_n^\perp = \langle f(x, y), g(x, y) \rangle := f(\delta x, \delta y)g(x, y)_{x=0, y=0}$

$$D_n = \{f(x, y) \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \delta y_i^l f(x, y) = 0, k+l > 0\}$$

Proposition 6 Pour tout partage μ de n , on a $\Delta_\mu(x, y) \in D_n$. Et donc $M_\mu \subseteq D_n$.

Faits:

- (1) $\dim(D_n) = (n+1)^{n-1}$
- (2) Si on pose $AD_n := \{f(x, y) \in D_n \mid \sigma f(x, y) = \text{signe}(\sigma) f(x, y)\}$
 $(\Delta_\mu \in AD_n)$ Alors $\dim(AD_n) = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$.
- (3) $q \binom{n}{2} H_{AD_n}(1, \frac{1}{q}) = \frac{1}{[n+1]} \binom{2n}{n}_{q\text{-analog}}$

$$H_{d_n}(q, t) := \sum_{k,l} q^k t^l \dim(\pi_{k,l} D_n)$$

(Stanley)

$$H_{AD_3}(q, t) = q^3 + q^2 + qt^2 + t^3 + qt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q^3 \left(q^3 + \frac{q^2}{q} + \frac{q}{q^2} + \frac{1}{q^3} + \frac{q}{q} \right) \\
&= q^6 + q^4 + q^2 + 1 + q^3 = \\
&\frac{1}{(1+q+q^2+q^3)} \cdot \frac{(1+q+q^2+q^3)(1+q+q^2+q^3+q^4)(1+q+q^2+q^3+q^4+q^5)}{(1+q)(1+q+q^2)} \\
(4) \quad q^{\binom{n}{2}} H_{D_n} \left(q, \frac{1}{q} \right) &= [n+1]^{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem(M.Haiman 2002):

$$F_{D_n}(q, t) = \nabla(S_{111\dots 1})$$

Def:

$$\nabla : \Lambda_{Q(q,t)} \rightarrow \Lambda_{Q(q,t)} \text{ lineaire } \nabla H_\mu[z,q,t] := q^{n(\mu_1)} t^{n(\mu)} H_\mu[z,q,t]$$

$n = 3, H_3 = :$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
& 3 & 21 & 111 \\
3 & 1 & q^2 + q & q^3 \\
21 & 1 & q + t & qt \\
111 & 1t^2 + t & t^3 &
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$H_{21} = S_3 + (q+t)S_{21} + qtS_{111}$$

$$\nabla_3 = H^{-1}AH_3$$

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} q^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & qt & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

now:

$$C_n(q, t) = \langle \nabla(S_{1^n}), S_{1^n} \rangle = H_{AD_n}(q, t)$$

$q, t - \text{Catalan}$

Proposition 7 *L'espace AD_n est le plus petite space qui contient $\Delta_n(x)$ et qui ferme pour les operateurs*

$$E_k := \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^k$$

Observation: $E_k f(x, y)$ est diagonalement alternant si $f(x, y)$ l'est.

10 Operateurs

$$p_{k,j}(\delta x, \delta y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta x_i^k \delta y_i^j$$

$$E_l = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \delta x_i^l$$

$$F_l = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \delta y_i^l$$

$$[E_l, p_{k,j}(\delta x, \delta y)] = E_l p_{k,j} - p_{k,j} \circ E_l =$$

$$[\delta y^l, y] = (\delta y^l \cdot y - y \cdot \delta y^l) f(y) = \delta y^l y \cdot f(y) - y \delta y^l f(y)$$

$$l = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 y(y f(y)) &= \delta y(f(y) + y \cdot \delta y f(y)) \\ &= 2\delta y f(y) + y \delta y^2 f(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$[\delta y \cdot y, y \cdot \delta y] = ID$$

$$[\delta y^2, y] = 2\delta y$$

$$\begin{aligned} [p_{k,j}, E_l] &= \left(\sum_i \delta x_i^k \delta y_i^j \right) \circ \left(\sum_i y_i \delta x_i^l \right) - \left(\sum_i y_i \delta x_i^l \right) \circ \left(\sum_i \delta x_i^k \delta y_i^j \right) \\ &= \sum_i [\delta x_i^k \delta y_i^j, y_i \delta x_i^l] \\ &= \sum_i [\delta y_i^j, y_i] \circ \delta x_i^{k+l} = \sum_i j \delta y_i^{j-1} \delta x_i^{k+l} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{k,j} E_a E_b &= E_a p_{k,j} E_b + j p_{k+a, j-1} E_b \\ &= E_{ab} p_{k,j} + j E_a p_{k+b, j-1} + j E_b p_{k+a, j-1} + j(j-1) p_{k+a+b, j-2} \end{aligned}$$

10.1 Polynome Quasisymetriques

$$M_{132}(x, y, z, t) = xy^3z^2 + xz^3t^2 + yz^3t^2 + xy^3t^2$$

Theorem 2

$$\dim\left(\frac{Q[x]}{(Qsym_n^+)}\right) = \frac{1}{n+1} 2n$$

11 topics

- (1) Preuve de $\langle S_{\lambda_1}, S_{\mu/v} \rangle = \langle S_{\lambda} S_{\nu}, S_{\mu} \rangle$.
- (2) Dualite' de shchor-Weyl $GL(n, C)$ S_n
- (4) Paires de Gelfrand and Polynomes Zonaux Spheriques.
- (5) Algebres de Hecke and Polynomes de Kazdan-Lusztig.

12 q-Inversion de Lagrange

$$f(z) = z + f_2 z^2 + f_3 z^3 + \dots$$

$$F(z) = z + g_2 z^2 + g_3 z^3 + \dots$$

$$f(F(z)) = z = f \circ_q F(z)$$

$$F(f(z)) = z = F \circ_{q^{-1}} f(z)$$

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{E(z)}$$

Lorsque

$$E(z) = 1 + e_1 z + e_2 z^2 + \dots$$

$$z = f(F(z)) = \frac{F(z)}{E(F(z))}$$

$$F(z) = zE(F(z))$$

Une formule pour g_k en terme de e_j .

$$g_k = \sum_{c \text{ Chemin de Dyck De hauteur } k} e_c$$

Solution Generique.

$$e_c = e_1^2 e_2^2$$

$$\nabla(e_k)|_{q=t=1} = g_{k+1}$$

$$(f \circ_q F)(z) = \sum f_k(F(z))^k$$

$$(f \circ_q F)(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_k F(z) F(qz) \dots F(q^{k-1}z)$$

12.1 Example:

$$C(z) = 1 + zC^2(z)$$

$$zC^2 - C + 1 = 0$$

$$C(z) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4z}}{2}$$

$$C(z) = 1 + 2z + 5z^2 + 14z^3 + \dots$$

$$= \sum \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} z^{n-1}$$

now

$$C(z) = 1 + zC(z)C(qz)$$

$$C(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n(q) z^n$$

$$C_n(q) = 1 \text{ si } n = 0 \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^k C_k(q) C_{n-1-k}(q)$$

end example

$$F(z) = z(E \circ_q F)(z)$$

Proposition 8 La solution de $F = z(E \circ_q F)$ est $F(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} q^n g_n(q) z^n$ ou

$$g_n(q) = \sum_{\mu \perp n} q^{n(\mu_1)} h_\mu \left[\frac{z}{1-q} \right] f_\mu[1-q]$$

Fonction sym A' ecrique en terme des e_j

$$h_{3321} = h_3 h_3 h_2 h_1$$

$$h_k = S_{(k)}$$

$$h_{21} \left[\frac{z}{1-q} \right] = h_2 \left[\frac{z}{1-q} \right] h_1 \left[\frac{z}{1-q} \right]$$

$$h_k = \sum_{\lambda \perp k}$$

$$p_k \rightarrow \frac{p_k}{1 - q^k}$$

$$h_{21} \left[\frac{z}{1-q} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{p_1}{1-q} \right)^2 + \frac{p_2}{1-q^2} \right] \frac{p_1}{1-q}$$

$$p_1 = e_1$$

$$p_2 = e_1^2 - 2e_2$$

$$e_k = \sum_{\lambda \perp k} (-1)^{k-l(\lambda)} \frac{p_\lambda}{z_\lambda}$$

$$< p_\lambda, >$$

$$< e_\lambda, f_\mu > = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$$

Posons

$$G(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{p_k z^k}{(1-q^{-k})k}\right)$$

Alors

$$\star : E(z)E(z/q)E(z/q^2)\dots E(z/q^{n-1}) = \frac{G(z)}{G(z/q^n)}$$

$$E(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} p_k \frac{z^k}{k}\right)$$

$$\star = \exp\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} p_k \left(\frac{z}{q^j}\right)^k / k\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} p_k z^k / k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{q^{jk}}\right)$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{q^{jk}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{jk}} - \frac{1}{q^{nk}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q^{jk}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-q^{-k}} - \frac{1}{q^{nk}} \frac{1}{1-q^{-k}}$$

$$f \circ_q F \quad F \circ_{q^{-1}} f$$

On cherche les $q_k(q)$ tels que

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{f_k z^k q^{\binom{k}{2}}}{E(z)E(z/q)\dots E(z/q^{n-1})} = z$$

$$f = \frac{z}{E(z)}$$

$$F \circ_{q^{-1}} f = z$$

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} q_k(q) q^{-\binom{k}{2}} G(z/q^k) z^k = zG(z)$$

$$\Gamma(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n(q) q^{\binom{n}{2}} z^n$$

ou

$$G(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n(q) z^n$$

$$F(z)\Gamma(z) = z\Gamma(zq)$$