COMP251: Topological Sort & Strongly Connected Components

Giulia Alberini & Jérôme Waldispühl School of Computer Science McGill University

Based on (Cormen et al., 2002)

Based on slides from D. Plaisted (UNC)

Outline

- Recap: DFS & BFS
- Background material
 - Parenthesis theorem
 - White-Path theorem
 - Edge classification
- Direct Acyclic Graphs (DAGs)
 - Definition
 - Topological Sort
- Strongly Connected Components

Recap: Breadth-first Search

- Input: Graph G = (V, E), either directed or undirected, and source vertex $s \in V$.
- Output:
 - d[v] = distance (smallest # of edges, or shortest path) from s to v, for all v ∈ V. $d[v] = \infty$ if v is not reachable from s.
 - $-\pi[v] = u$ such that (u, v) is last edge on shortest path $s \sim v$.
 - u is v' s predecessor.
 - Builds breadth-first tree with root s that contains all reachable vertices.

Recap: BFS Example



Recap: Depth-first Search

- Input: G = (V, E), directed or undirected. No source vertex given.
- Output:
 - 2 timestamps on each vertex. Integers between 1 and 2|V|.
 - d[v] =*discovery time* (v turns from white to gray)
 - *f* [*v*] = *finishing time* (*v* turns from gray to black)
 - $\pi[v]$: predecessor of v = u, such that v was discovered during the scan of u' s adjacency list.
- Uses the same coloring scheme for vertices as BFS.

Recap: DFS Example



the predecessor.

Recap: Parenthesis Theorem

Theorem 1:

For all *u*, *v* in a depth-first-search forest, exactly one of the following holds:

- 1. d[u] < f[u] < d[v] < f[v] or d[v] < f[v] < d[u] < f[u]and neither u nor v is a descendant of the other.
- 2. d[u] < d[v] < f[v] < f[u] and v is a descendant of u.
- 3. d[v] < d[u] < f[u] < f[v] and u is a descendant of v.
- So d[u] < d[v] < f[u] < f[v] cannot happen.
- Like parentheses:

 OK:
 ({ })
 []
 Not OK:
 ({) }

 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 1
 2
 3
 4

Corollary

v is a proper descendant of u if and only if d[u] < d[v] < f[v] < f[u].

White-path Theorem

Theorem 2

v is a descendant of u if and only if at time d[u], there is a path $u \sim v$ consisting of only white vertices (Except for u, which was just colored gray).

Notation: the arrow $\sim \sim$ represents a path of any length (i.e., sequence of one or more consecutive edges).

Example (white-path theorem)



v, y, and x are descendants of u.

Edge classification with DFS



The red edges show the edges used by the DFS algorithm (i.e., tree edges)

Classification of Edges

- **Tree edge:** (u, v) in the depth-first forest. v is a descendant of u and the edge was used by DFS.
- **Back edge:** (*u*, *v*), where *u* is a descendant of *v* (in the depth-first tree).
- Forward edge: (u, v), where v is a descendant of u, but not a tree edge.
- **Cross edge:** any other edge. Can go between vertices in same depth-first tree or in different depth-first trees. It's a (u, v) such that the subtrees rooted at u and v are distinct

Theorem 3

In DFS of a connected undirected graph, we get only tree and back edges. No forward or cross edges.

Proof left as an exercise...

Identification of Edges

- Edge type for edge (*u*, *v*) can be identified when it is first explored by DFS.
- Identification is based on the color of v.
 - White tree edge.
 - Gray back edge.
 - Black forward or cross edge.

Directed Acyclic Graph

- DAG Directed graph with no cycles.
- Good for modeling processes and structures that have a partial order:
 - -a > b and $b > c \Rightarrow a > c$.
 - But may have a and b such that neither a > b nor b > a.
- Can always make a total order (either a > b or b > a for all a ≠ b) from a partial order.

DAG of dependencies for putting on goalie equipment.



Characterizing a DAG

Lemma 1

A directed graph G is acyclic *iff* a DFS of G yields no back edges.

- (\Rightarrow) Show that back edge \Rightarrow cycle.
 - Suppose there is a back edge (u, v). Then v is ancestor of u in depth-first forest (by definition of a back edge).
 - Therefore, there is a path $v \sim u$, so $v \sim u \sim v$ is a cycle.



Characterizing a DAG

Lemma 1

A directed graph G is acyclic *iff* a DFS of G yields no back edges.

Proof (Contd.):

- (⇐) Show that a cycle implies a back edge.
 - c : cycle in G; v : first vertex discovered in c; (u, v) : preceding edge in c.
 - At time d[v], vertices of c form a white path $v \sim u$.
 - By white-path theorem, u is a descendent of v in depth-first forest.
 - Therefore, (u, v) is a back edge.



Topological Sort

Want to "sort" a directed acyclic graph (DAG).



Think of original DAG as a partial order.

We want a total order that extends this partial order.

Topological Sort

- Performed on a DAG.
- Linear ordering of the vertices of G such that if (u, v) = E, then u appears somewhere before v.

Topological-Sort (G)

- 1. call DFS(G) to compute finishing times f[v] for all $v \in V$
- 2. as each vertex is finished, insert it onto the front of a linked list
- 3. return the linked list of vertices

Time: $\Theta(V + E)$.





































Note: The output may change if the choices of vertices is different, but the result remains valid.



26 socks 24 shorts 23 hose 22 pants 21 skates 20 leg pads 14 t-shirt 13 chest pad 12 sweater 11 mask 6 batting glove 5 catch glove 4 blocker

In the sequence of vertices given by the total order.

Correctness (1)

We want to prove: "Linear ordering of the vertices of G such that if $(u, v) \in E$, then u appears somewhere before v."

```
\Rightarrow We need to show if (u, v) \in E, then f[v] < f[u].
```

inserted at the head of the list as soon as they are finished.

When we explore (u, v), what are the colors of u and v?

Assume we just discovered *u*, which is thus gray.

Then, what are the possible colors of v ?

- Can v be gray?
- Can v be white?
- Can v be black?

Correctness (2)

When we explore (u, v), what are the colors of u and v?

- Assume *u* is gray (by hypothesis, we just discovered it).
- Is v gray, too?

No, because then v would be ancestor of u.

 \Rightarrow (*u*, *v*) is a back edge (by definition of a back edge).

- \Rightarrow contradiction of **Lemma 1** (DAG has no back edges).
- Is v white?
 - Then becomes descendant of *u*.
 - By parenthesis theorem, d[u] < d[v] < f[v] < f[u].
- Is v black?
 - Then v is already finished.
 - Since we are exploring (u, v), we have not yet finished u.
 - Therefore, f[v] < f[u].

Strongly Connected Components

- *G* is strongly connected if every pair (*u*, *v*) of vertices in *G* is reachable from one another.
- A strongly connected component (SCC) of G is a maximal set of vertices C ∈ V such that for all u, v ∈ C, both u ~ v and v ~ u exist.



Component Graph

- $G^{SCC} = (VSCC, ESCC).$
- *V*^{SCC} has one vertex for each *SCC* in *G*.
- E^{SCC} has an edge if there is an edge between the corresponding SCC's in G.

Example:



G^{SCC} is a DAG

Lemma 2

Let *C* and *C'* be distinct SCC's in *G*, let $u, v \in C \& u', v' \in C'$, and suppose there is a path $u \sim u'$ in *G*. Then there cannot also be a path $v' \sim v$ in *G*.

Proof (by contradiction):

- Assume there is a path $v' \sim v$ in G.
- Then, there are paths $u \sim u' \sim v'$ and $v' \sim v \sim u$ in *G*.
- Therefore, u and v' are reachable from each other, so they are not in separate SCC's.

Transpose of a Directed Graph

• G^T = transpose of directed G.

$$-G^{T} = (V, ET), E^{T} = \{(u, v): (v, u) \in E\}.$$

- $-G^T$ is G with all edges reversed.
- Can create G^T in $\Theta(V + E)$ time if using adjacency lists.
- *G* and *G^T* have the same SCC's. (*u* and *v* are reachable from each other in *G* if and only if they are reachable from each other in *G^T*.)

Algorithm to determine SCCs

SCC(G)

- 1. call DFS(G) to compute finishing times f[u] for all u
- 2. compute G^T
- 3. call DFS(GT), but in the main loop, consider vertices in order of decreasing f[u] (as computed in first DFS)
- 4. output the vertices in each tree of the depth-first forest formed in second DFS as a separate SCC

Time: $\Theta(V + E)$.

G





After the first DFS. We computed all finishing times in G.



Then, we compute the transpose G^T of G and sort the vertices with the finishing time calculated in G.



(b (a (e e) a) b) (c (d d) c) (g (f f) g) (h)

How does it work?

- Idea:
 - By considering vertices in second DFS in decreasing order of finishing times from first DFS, we are visiting vertices of the component graph in topologically sorted order.
 - Because we are running DFS on G^T , we will not be visiting any v from a u, where v and u are in different components.

Recall: the component graph is a DAG!

- Notation:
 - d[u] and f[u] always refer to **first** DFS.
 - Extend notation for d and f to sets of vertices $U \subseteq V$:
 - $d(U) = min_{u \in U} \{d[u]\}$ (earliest discovery time)
 - $-f(U) = max_{u \in U} \{ f[u] \}$ (latest finishing time)

SCCs and DFS finishing times

Lemma 3

Let C and C' be distinct SCC's in G = (V, E). Suppose there is an edge $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u \in C$ and $v \in C'$. Then, f(C) > f(C').

- Case 1: d(C) < d(C')
 - Let x be the first vertex discovered in C.
 - At time d[x], all vertices in C and C' are white. Thus, there exist paths of white vertices from x to all vertices in C and C'.
 - By the white-path theorem, all vertices in C and C' are descendants of x in depth-first tree.
 - By the parenthesis theorem, f[x] = f(C) > f(C').



SCCs and DFS finishing times

Lemma 3

Let C and C' be distinct SCC's in G = (V, E). Suppose there is an edge $(u, v) \in E$ such that $u \in C$ and $v \in C'$. Then, f(C) > f(C').

- Case 2: d(C) > d(C')
 - Let y be the first vertex discovered in C'.
 - At d[y], all vertices in C' are white and there is a white path from y to each vertex in $C' \Rightarrow$ all vertices in C' become descendants of y. Again, f[y] = f(C').
 - At d[y], all vertices in C are also white.
 - By lemma 2, since there is an edge (u, v), we cannot have a path from C' to C.
 - So, no vertex in *C* is reachable from *y*.
 - Therefore, at time f [y], all vertices in C are still white.
 - Therefore, for all $w \in C$, f[w] > f[y], which implies that f(C) > f(C').



SCCs and DFS finishing times

Corollary 1 Let *C* and *C'* be distinct SCC's in G = (V, E). Suppose there is an edge $(u, v) \in E^T$, where $u \in C$ and $v \in C'$. Then, f(C) < f(C').

- $(u, v) \in E^T \Rightarrow (v, u) \in E$.
- Since SCC's of G and G^T are the same, f(C') > f(C), by Lemma 3.

Correctness of SCC

1) At beginning, DFS visits only vertices in the first SCC

- When we do the second DFS on G^T, we start with the SCC C such that f(C) is maximum.
- This second DFS starts from some x ∈ C, and it visits all vertices in C.
- Corollary 1 says that since f(C) > f(C') for all $C \neq C'$, there are no edges from C to C' in G^{T} .
- Therefore, **DFS will visit** only vertices in C.
- Which means that the depth-first tree rooted at x contains *exactly* the vertices of C.

Correctness of SCC

2) DFS does not visit more than one new SCC at the time

- The next root in the second DFS is in SCC C' such that f(C') is maximum over all SCC's other than C.
 - DFS visits all vertices in C', but the only edges out of C' go to C, which we have already visited.
 - Therefore, the only tree edges will be to vertices in C'.
- Iterate the process.
- Each time we choose a root, it can reach only:
 - vertices in its SCC-get tree edges to these,
 - vertices in SCC's already visited in second DFS—get no tree edges to these.