

## COMP760, SUMMARY OF LECTURE 7.

HAMED HATAMI

- **Unbounded-error model** is due to Paturi and Simon [PS86]. The *unbounded-error communication complexity* of a function  $f$ , denoted by  $U(f)$  is the least cost of a *private coin* randomized protocol that computes  $f$  with the error probability strictly less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ . That is  $\Pr[P(x, y, r) \neq f(x, y)] < \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $(x, y)$ . Note

$$U(f) = \lim_{\epsilon \nearrow \frac{1}{2}} R_\epsilon^{prv}(f).$$

It is important that protocol is in the private coin model. Indeed for every function  $f$ , we have  $U^{pub}(f) = O(1)$  (See assignment 2).

In the previous lecture we saw that  $R_\epsilon^{prv}(f) \geq \log \text{rank}_{2\epsilon}(f)$ . Taking the limit shows

$$U(f) = \lim_{\epsilon \nearrow \frac{1}{2}} R_\epsilon^{prv}(f) \geq \lim_{\epsilon \nearrow \frac{1}{2}} \log \text{rank}_{2\epsilon}(f) = \log \text{rank}_\pm(f).$$

**Theorem 1** (Paturi-Simon [PS86]). *For every  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , we have  $\log \text{rank}_\pm(f) \leq U(f) \leq \log(\text{rank}_\pm(f) + 1)$ .*

*Proof.* As we discussed above the lower-bound follows from Krause's result  $R_\epsilon^{prv}(f) \geq \log \text{rank}_{2\epsilon}(f)$ . It remains to prove  $U(f) \leq \log(\text{rank}_\pm(f) + 1)$ . Suppose that  $A$  sign-represents  $f$  and  $\text{rank}(A) = d$ . Hence there exists  $2^n \times d$  and  $d \times 2^n$  matrices  $B$  and  $C$  such that  $A = BC$ . First we note that if all the entries of  $B$  are positive, each row of  $B$  sums up to 1, and  $|C_{ij}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then we can design an unbounded protocol for  $f$  that uses  $\log_2 d$  bits of communication. Then we will show that at the cost of increasing  $d$  by at most 1 we can easily satisfy these conditions.

- Alice chooses  $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  randomly s.t.  $\Pr[j = i] = B_{xi}$ , and sends  $j$  to Bob.
- Bob outputs

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2} + C_{jy} \\ & -1 \text{ with probability } \frac{1}{2} - C_{jy}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A = BC$ , we have

$$\Pr[P(x, y) = 1] = \sum_{j=1}^d B_{xj} \left( \frac{1}{2} + C_{jy} \right) = \frac{1}{2} + A(x, y).$$

and

$$\Pr[P(x, y) = -1] = \sum_{j=1}^d B_{xj} \left( \frac{1}{2} - C_{jy} \right) = \frac{1}{2} - A(x, y).$$

Since  $A$  sign-represents  $f$ , this is an unbounded protocol with cost  $\log(d)$ .

It remains to show that at the cost of increasing  $d$  by 1, we can satisfy the conditions that we used above. Indeed let  $C'$  be obtained by adding a new row to  $C$  to make sure that every column adds up to 0. That is the  $y$ -th entry in this new row is  $C'(d+1, y) = -\sum_{j=1}^d C(j, y)$ .

Let  $\lambda > 0$  be sufficiently large so that the entries of

$$B' = B \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda J_{2^n \times (d+1)}$$

are all positive. Here  $J_{2^n \times (d+1)}$  denotes the all 1 matrix of dimensions  $2^n \times (d+1)$ . Note that  $B'C' = BC$ , and that the entries of  $B'$  are all positive. Now we can divide every row of  $B'$  by a positive number to make sure that it adds up to 1, and we can divide  $C'$  by a large positive number so that all its entries are at most  $1/2$  in absolute value. Obviously this re-scaling does not change the sign of the entries of the product, and thus we obtain the desired matrices.  $\square$

- **Non-determinism  $N^1(f)$  and  $N^0(f)$ :** Consider a function  $f$ . An oracle who sees both  $x$  and  $y$  wants to convince Alice and Bob that  $f(x, y) = 1$ . The smallest amount of communication required between the oracle and Alice and Bob, so that Alice and Bob get convinced that  $f(x, y) = 1$  on all such inputs is denoted by  $N^1(f)$ . Obviously if  $f(x, y) = 0$ , no matter what Oracle says, they must not come to the conclusion that  $f(x, y) = 1$ . The communication parameter  $N^0(f)$  is defined similarly but with swapping the roles of 0 and 1.

As a simple example consider the equality function  $\text{EQ}_n$ . Here if  $\text{EQ}_n(x, y) = 0$ , meaning that  $x \neq y$ , the oracle can tell to Alice and Bob a coordinate  $i$  with  $x_i \neq y_i$ , and then they can verify this by communicating  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ . Hence  $N^0(\text{EQ}_n) = O(\log_2 n)$ .

- We have  $N_1(f) = \log C^1(f) \pm O(1)$ , and  $N_0(f) = \log C^0(f) \pm O(1)$ .
- We proved in Lecture 2 that  $D(f) \leq \log C^0(f) \log C^1(f)$ . With the new notation this means  $D(f) \leq N^0(f)N^1(f)$ .

## 1. COMMUNICATION COMPLEXITY CLASSES:

As in the rest of complexity theory by a communication problem we mean a sequence of functions  $f_n : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For example equality  $\text{EQ}_n$ , disjointness  $\text{DISJ}_n$ , and inner product  $\text{IP}_n$  are all communication problems.

- **Class  $\text{P}^{cc}$ :** is the set of problems with efficient deterministic communication complexity. That is  $D(f_n) \leq \log^c(n)$  for some constant  $c > 0$ .
- **Class  $\text{NP}^{cc}$ :** is the set of problems with efficient non-deterministic communication complexity. That is  $N^1(f_n) \leq \log^c(n)$ .
- **Class  $\text{CoNP}^{cc}$ :** is the negation of the problems in  $\text{NP}^{cc}$ . That is  $N^0(f_n) \leq \log^c(n)$ .
- **Class  $\Sigma_k^{cc}$ :** For a fixed integer  $k \geq 1$ , a family  $\{f_n\}$  is in  $\Sigma_k^{cc}$  if and only if for some constant  $c > 0$ ,

$$f_n = \bigvee_{i_1=1}^{2^{\log^c n}} \bigwedge_{i_2=1}^{2^{\log^c n}} \bigvee_{i_3=1}^{2^{\log^c n}} \cdots \bigwedge_{i_k=1}^{2^{\log^c n}} g_{i_1, \dots, i_k},$$

here  $\bigvee$  and  $\bigwedge$  alternate, and thus when  $k$  is odd, the inner most one must be  $\bigvee$  (instead of  $\bigwedge$ ). If  $k$  is odd then  $g_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  are rectangles, and if  $k$  is even then they are complements of rectangles.

- **Class  $\Pi_k^{cc}$ :** A family  $\{f_n\}$  is in  $\Pi_k^{cc}$  if and only if  $\{\neg f_n\}$  is in  $\Sigma_k^{cc}$ .
- **Class  $\text{PH}^{cc}$ :** The polynomial hierarchy is defined as  $\text{PH}^{cc} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_k^{cc} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Pi_k^{cc}$ .
- **Class  $\text{PSPACE}^{cc}$ :** A family  $\{f_n\}$  is in  $\text{PSPACE}^{cc}$  if and only if for some constant  $c > 0$  and odd  $k < \log^c n$ ,

$$f_n = \bigvee_{i_1=1}^{2^{\log^c n}} \bigwedge_{i_2=1}^{2^{\log^c n}} \bigvee_{i_3=1}^{2^{\log^c n}} \dots \bigvee_{i_k=1}^{2^{\log^c n}} g_{i_1, \dots, i_k},$$

where  $g_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  (alternatively we could take  $k$  even and then the functions  $g$  would become complements of rectangles...)

- **Class  $\text{BPP}^{cc}$ :** Problems with  $R_{1/3}(f_n) \leq \log^c(n)$ .
- **Class  $\text{PP}^{cc}$ :** Problems with  $R_{\frac{1}{2}-2^{-\log^c(n)}}(f_n) \leq \log^c(n)$ .
- **Class  $\text{UPP}^{cc}$ :** Problems with  $U(f_n) \leq \log^c(n)$ .

## 2. SOME RELATIONS BETWEEN THESE CLASSES

- $\text{NP}^{cc} = \Sigma_1^{cc}$  and  $\text{CoNP}^{cc} = \Pi_1^{cc}$ .
- $\Sigma_k^{cc}, \Pi_k^{cc} \subseteq \Sigma_{k+1}^{cc} \cap \Pi_{k+1}^{cc}$ .
- Since  $D(f) \leq N^0(f)N^1(f)$ , we have  $\text{P}^{cc} = \text{NP}^{cc} \cap \text{CoNP}^{cc}$ .
- $\text{BPP}^{cc} \subseteq \text{PP}^{cc} \subseteq \text{UPP}^{cc}$ .
- $\text{PH}^{cc} \subseteq \text{PSPACE}^{cc}$ .
- In light of the Paturi-Simon theorem,  $\text{UPP}^{cc}$  can be characterized as the class of problems with  $\text{rank}_{\pm}(f_n) \leq \log^c(n)$ .
- It's not hard to see that  $\text{PP}^{cc}$  can be characterized as the class of problems with non-negligible discrepancy  $\text{disc}(f_n) \leq 2^{-\log^c(n)}$ . (See Assignment 2)
- It's not hard to see  $\text{NP}, \text{CoNP}^{cc} \subseteq \text{PP}^{cc}$ . (See Assignment 2)

## REFERENCES

- [PS86] Ramamohan Paturi and Janos Simon, *Probabilistic communication complexity*, J. Comput. System Sci. **33** (1986), no. 1, 106–123, Twenty-fifth annual symposium on foundations of computer science (Singer Island, Fla., 1984). MR 864082 (88e:68041)

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, MONTRÉAL, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* `hatami@cs.mcgill.ca`