

THE FOURIER TRANSFORM

E. CHERNYSH

CONTENTS

1. The Fourier Transform on \mathcal{S}	1
2. The Fourier Inversion Theorem	4
3. The Fourier Transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$	7

In this text we shall consider the development of the Fourier transform for square-integrable functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We shall develop most of the theory for the Schwartz space \mathcal{S} , and extend the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ to a (unique) mapping

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$$

by showing that \mathcal{S} is a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

1. THE FOURIER TRANSFORM ON \mathcal{S}

Here we develop the theory of Fourier analysis for the “smaller” space \mathcal{S} . Recall that the **Schwartz Space**, denoted $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, consists of all smooth functions vanishing faster than any inverse power of x at infinity; along with all of its derivatives. That is,

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) : \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0, \exists c_{\alpha, \beta} \geq 0 \text{ such that } \left\| x^\alpha \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^\beta f \right\|_\infty \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Clearly, any smooth function of compact support $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the Schwartz space, and so it is easy to come up with examples of such functions. Our first observation is that \mathcal{S} is a vector space when endowed with the obvious notions of scalar multiplication and addition. Certainly, this is ultimately a consequence of the triangle inequality. Moreover, this same observation tells us that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then for each $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and any polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ there is an associated constant $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\left\| p(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^\beta f \right\|_\infty \leq C$$

Date: March 30, 2017.

¹Here we write \mathbb{N}_0 to denote the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

as is immediate from the triangle inequality. This allows us to deduce the following:

Proposition 1.1. *The Schwartz space is a subspace of $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ be given. Then, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ large we consider the polynomial $p(x) = (1 + x^2)^N$. By our remarks above, we may find a constant C independent of x such that

$$\left\| (1 + x^2)^N f \right\|_{\infty} \leq C$$

whence we obtain $(1 + x^2)^N |f(x)| \leq C$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Especially, this yields

$$|f(x)| \leq \frac{C}{(1 + x^2)^N}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

implying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx < \infty$ and that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.

○

Corollary 1.2. *$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for each $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

It is now time to determine the Fourier transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition 1. *For any $f \in \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we define the Fourier transform of f , denoted \widehat{f} or $\mathcal{F}(f)$, to be the function $\widehat{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by*

$$\widehat{f}(y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-ixy} dx \quad (2)$$

This is well defined. Certainly, by the previous proposition we know that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ one has easily:

$$\left| \widehat{f}(y) \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x) e^{-ixy}| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| |e^{-ixy}| dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx$$

where this last term is precisely the quantity $\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \infty$.

We first claim that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{S}$. This is more-or-less a two-step process.

Theorem 1.3 (Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma). *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then,*

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-ixy} dx = 0$$

Proof. We first establish it for the characteristic function of a compact interval $[a, b]$ in \mathbb{R} . To see that this claim holds, we observe now that for each $y \neq 0$:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(x) e^{-ixy} dx \right| = \left| \int_a^b e^{-iyx} dx \right| = \left| \frac{-1}{iy} e^{-iyx} \right|_a^b = \frac{1}{|y|} \left| e^{-iyb} - e^{-iya} \right|$$

whence $\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(x) e^{-ixy} dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{|y|}$ which vanishes at infinity.

Now, we recall that step functions are dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then, there exists a step function $\varphi_\varepsilon = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n \mathbf{1}_{[a_n, b_n]}(x)$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f - \varphi_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon$. Now, we may now compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-iyx} dx \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x)) e^{-iyx} dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_\varepsilon(x) e^{-iyx} dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x) - \varphi_\varepsilon(x)| dx + \sum_{n=1}^N |\alpha_n| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{[a_n, b_n]}(x) e^{-iyx} dx \right| \end{aligned}$$

However, we have that $\|f - \varphi_\varepsilon\| \leq \varepsilon$ and taking y large-enough we can make each term of the summation arbitrarily small. Now, it follows from this that for y sufficiently large we have $\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-iyx} dx \right| \leq \varepsilon$ and the proof is complete. ○

We now prove one more lemma:

Lemma 1.4. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, the following are satisfied:*

$$(1) \frac{d}{dy} \widehat{f}(y) = -ix \widehat{f}(y).$$

$$(2) \frac{d}{dx} \widehat{f}(y) = iy \widehat{f}(y)$$

Proof.

(1) We use that f is smooth here to differentiate under the integral. Here, the integrand is most certainly smooth and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dy} \widehat{f}(y) &= \frac{d}{dy} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{iyx} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \frac{d}{dy} e^{-iyx} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) (-ix) e^{-iyx} dx \\ &= -i \int_{\mathbb{R}} x f(x) e^{-iyx} dx \\ &= -ix \widehat{f}(y) \end{aligned}$$

(2) Here we integrate by parts and use the property that $f(x)$ vanishes at infinity to deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} \widehat{f}(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'(x) e^{-iyx} dx = \left[f(x) e^{-iyx} \right]_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \frac{d}{dx} e^{-iyx} dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) (-iy) e^{-iyx} dx = (iy) \widehat{f}(y) \end{aligned}$$

○

Corollary 1.5. *The Fourier map $\widehat{\cdot}$ takes elements of \mathcal{S} into \mathcal{S} . Namely, $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.*

Proof. Clearly, \widehat{f} is smooth by the simple property that $f(x)e^{-iyx}$ is smooth in y . Now, we let $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ be given. By the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma we need only consider the cases where $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then, we write:

$$y^\alpha \left(\frac{d}{dy} \right)^\beta \widehat{f}(y) = y^\alpha (-i)^\beta \widehat{x^\beta f}(y) = (-i)^\alpha y^\alpha \widehat{x^\beta f}(y) = (-i)^{\alpha+\beta} \widehat{D_x^\alpha x^\beta f}(y)$$

However, this last line is:

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_x(x^\beta f(x)) e^{-iyx} dx$$

Now, by the product integral together with the fact that $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ the result follows again from the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. ○

We now show that we may “exchange” the transform operator under the integral sign:

Proposition 1.6. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$. Then, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y)g(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\widehat{g}(x)dx$.*

Proof. By Fubini’s theorem we may write:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y)g(y)dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-iyx} dx \right) g(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} f(x)g(y)e^{-iyx} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(y)e^{-iyx} dy dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y)e^{-iyx} dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\widehat{g}(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

as was asserted. ○

We shall use the notation $f_a(x) = f(a+x)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, we have instead that $\widehat{f}_a(y) = e^{iay}\widehat{f}(y)$. To see this, we simply calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_a(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_a(x)e^{-ixy} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x+a)e^{-iyx} dx \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow x+a} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z)e^{-iy(z-a)} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z)e^{iy a} e^{-iyz} dz = e^{iy a} \widehat{f}(y) \end{aligned} \quad (\oplus)$$

If instead we let $f_a(x) := f(x/a)$ then we find that:

$$\widehat{f}_a(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) e^{-iyx} dx \xrightarrow{z \rightarrow x/a} a \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z) e^{-iyaz} dz = a \widehat{f}(ay)$$

2. THE FOURIER INVERSION THEOREM

The main result of this section (the *Fourier Inversion Formula*) makes use of a special calculation.

2.0.1. *Simple Differential Equation.* We consider the function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by the mapping $f(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$. Clearly, this is a smooth function on \mathbb{R} that is of Schwarz class. We wish to determine the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(f) = \widehat{f}$. To do this, we first observe that we have the relation

$$f'(x) = -xe^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = -xf(x)$$

This yields $\widehat{f'} = -x\widehat{f}$. We now employ Lemma 1.4 to see that $-x\widehat{f} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dy} \widehat{f}(y)$. That is, we obtain

$$\widehat{f'} = -x\widehat{f} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dy} \widehat{f}(y)$$

However, another application of Lemma 1.4 yields $\widehat{f'} = \frac{d}{dx} \widehat{f} = iy\widehat{f}$. Putting all this together gives us an ordinary differential equation:

$$\frac{d}{dy} \widehat{f} = -y\widehat{f} \quad (3)$$

Namely, integration gives us:

$$\ln \widehat{f} = -\frac{y^2}{2} + C, \quad C \in \mathbb{R}$$

Especially, upon exponentiation we find that $\widehat{f}(y) = \gamma e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}$ for some constant $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. To determine this γ , we note finally that $\widehat{f}(0) = \gamma$ and that this may be computed directly via the Gaussian integral:

$$\widehat{f}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-iy \cdot 0} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \sqrt{2\pi}$$

That is,

$$\boxed{\mathcal{F} \left[e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \right] (y) = \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}}} \quad (4)$$

2.0.2. *Proof of the Fourier Inversion Theorem.* We state and prove the Fourier inversion formula here:

Theorem 2.1 (Fourier Inversion Theorem). *The operator $\mathcal{F}[\cdot] : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a bijection, and for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $g = \widehat{f}$ we may recover f via*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y) e^{ixy} dy \quad (5)$$

Proof. We begin by considering the function $g(y) = e^{-\frac{y^2}{2a^2}}$ for $a \gg 0$. By our earlier calculations together with our earlier remarks on $g_a(\cdot)$, we may deduce that

$$\widehat{g}(x) = a\sqrt{2\pi} e^{-\frac{(ax)^2}{2}}$$

Therefore, by our result in Proposition 1.6 we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y)g(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\widehat{g}(x)dx$$

Whence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y)e^{-\frac{y^2}{2a^2}}dy = a\sqrt{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-\frac{(ax)^2}{2}}dx$$

Now, we make the substitution $x \mapsto ax$ to recover:

$$a\sqrt{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-\frac{(ax)^2}{2}}dx \xrightarrow{u=ax} \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{u}{a}\right)e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}du$$

All this put together yields:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y)e^{-\frac{y^2}{2a^2}}dy = \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{u}{a}\right)e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}du \quad (4)$$

We now wish to pass to the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$. We first consider the left-hand-side of equation (4). We observe that by integrability of \widehat{f} we may apply the dominated convergence theorem to deduce

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y)e^{-\frac{y^2}{2a^2}}dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y) \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2a^2}}dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y)dy$$

The same argument implies moreover that in the limit of the right-hand-side of (4) one has by continuity of f :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{u}{a}\right)e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}du &= \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(0)e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}du = \sqrt{2\pi}f(0) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}du \\ &= 2\pi f(0) \end{aligned}$$

This with the equality in (4) implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y)dy = f(0)$$

We are now almost done. For $z \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider the mapping $h(x) := f(x+z) = f_z(x)$. Now, from our result directly above, we know that

$$f(z) = h(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{h}(y)dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(y)e^{izy}dy$$

where in the last equality we have used (\oplus) . This concludes the proof of the *Fourier Inversion Formula*. ○

The final result of this section is of course the following:

Theorem 2.2 (Plancherel's Theorem). *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Then, $2\pi \|f\|^2 = \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|^2$ where \mathcal{F} denotes the Fourier transform and $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We first derive an expression for $\overline{f(x)}$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This is ultimately a consequence of the Fourier inversion formula:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}[f](y) e^{ixy} dy$$

Thence, $\overline{f(x)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\mathcal{F}[f](y)} e^{-ixy} dy$. In other-words;

$$\overline{f(x)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{F} \left[\overline{\mathcal{F}[f]} \right] \quad (5)$$

Using this, we obtain

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \overline{f(x)} dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \mathcal{F} \left[\overline{\mathcal{F}[f]} \right] (x) dx \stackrel{(*)}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}[f](x) \overline{\mathcal{F}[f](x)} dx$$

where the equality denoted $(*)$ follows from Proposition 1.6. This last equation is clearly $\frac{1}{2\pi} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|^2$ and the proof is complete. ○

3. THE FOURIER TRANSFORM ON $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

Of course, the Schwartz Space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is far too restrictive: we wish to extend the notion of the Fourier transform so that it utilizes the generality of the Lebesgue integral. Of course, the natural setting for this is the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

We begin with an observation that will serve us well:

Theorem 3.1 (Dense-Subspace Extension Theorem). *Let $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ be Banach spaces over some field \mathbb{K} and \mathcal{S} is some dense subspace of \mathcal{B}_1 . If $T : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ is a bounded linear transformation, there exists a unique linear transformation $T_0 : \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ agreeing with T on \mathcal{S} .*

Proof. We shall first assume existence and show uniqueness. This is a consequence of the density of \mathcal{S} in \mathcal{B}_1 . Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and let $(f_n) \rightarrow f$ be a sequence in \mathcal{S} . Clearly, by continuity of T_0, T_1 (recall that a linear operator is continuous iff bounded) we have

$$T_0(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_0(f_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_1(f_n) = T_1(f)$$

establishing uniqueness. We now show existence. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and pick a sequence (f_n) in \mathcal{S} converging to f . Then, we claim that the sequence $(T(f_n))$ is Cauchy in \mathcal{B}_2 . To see this, we simply use the boundedness of T to discover

$$\|T(b_n) - T(b_m)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leq M \|b_n - b_m\|_{\mathcal{B}_1}, \quad M > 0$$

Since (f_n) is a convergent sequence, it must be Cauchy and therefore we have found that $(T(f_n))_n$ is again Cauchy in \mathcal{B}_2 . Since \mathcal{B}_2 is a Banach space, there is a limit to this sequence in \mathcal{B}_2 and the limit above makes sense. It remains to show that this is *well-defined*. Suppose that (g_n) is another sequence in \mathcal{S} converging to f in \mathcal{B}_1 . We must show that $\lim T(g_n) = \lim T(f_n)$.

To see this, write for n large:

$$\|T(f_n) - T(g_n)\|_{\mathcal{B}_2} \leq M \|f_n - g_n\|_{\mathcal{B}_1} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$



This theorem tells us that we simply need to show that the Schwartz Space $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ is *dense* in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ to ensure the existence of a well defined extension to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ of the map \mathcal{F} . We shall devote this next theorem to this result.

As mentioned previously, we wish to establish the density of $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The first observation is that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of \mathcal{S} . Certainly, at infinity these functions are identical to zero and therefore the derivatives, as well as the function, vanish entirely. It follows from this observation that it suffices to show that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.