

What is a hierarchical clustering?

- Given instances $D = {\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m}$.
- A hierarchical clustering is a set of subsets (clusters) of D,
 - $C = \{C_1, \ldots, C_K\}$, where
 - Every element in \boldsymbol{D} is in at least one set of \boldsymbol{C}
 - The C_j can be assigned to the nodes of a tree such that the cluster at any node is precisely the union of the clusters at the node's children (if any).

November 19, 2007

3

COMP-652 Lecture 20

Example of a hierarchical clustering

- Suppose $D = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}.$
- One hierarchical clustering is C =
 - $\{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{4,5\}, \{1,2,3,4,5\}, \{6,7\}, \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}\}.$
- In this example:
 - Leaves of the tree need not correspond to single instances.
 - The branching factor of the tree is not limited.
- However, most hierarchical clustering algorithms produce binary trees, and take single instances as the smallest clusters.

Agglomerative clustering

- Input: A set of instances and pairwise distances $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ between them.
- Output: A hierarchical clustering
- Algorithm:
 - Assign each instance as its own cluster on a working list W.
 - Repeat
 - $\ast\,$ Find the two clusters in W that are most "similar".
 - * Remove them from W.
 - \ast Add their union to W.

Until W contains a single cluster with all the data objects.

 The hierarchical clustering contains <u>all</u> clusters appearing in W at any stage of the algorithm.

```
November 19, 2007
```

5

COMP-652 Lecture 20

How do we measure dissimilarity between clusters?

• Distance between nearest objects ("Single-linkage" agglomerative clustering, or "nearest neighbor"):

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}\in C, \mathbf{x}'\in C'} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$

• Distance between farthest objects ("Complete-linkage" agglomerative clustering, or "furthest neighbor"):

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}\in C, \mathbf{x}'\in C'} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$

Average distance between objects ("Group-average" agglomerative clustering):

3

$$\frac{1}{|C||C'|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C, \mathbf{x}' \in C'} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$$

November 19, 2007

Dendrograms and monotonicity

- Single-linkage, complete-linkage and group-average dissimilarity measure all share a monotonicity property:
 - Let A, B, C be clusters.
 - Let d be one of the dissimilarity measures.
 - If d(A, B) < d(A, C) and d(A, B) < d(B, C), then $d(A, B) < d(A \cup B, C)$.

 Implication: every time agglomerative clustering merges two clusters, the dissimilarity of those clusters is ≥ the dissimilarity of all previous merges.

 Dendrograms (trees depicting hierarchical clusterings) are often drawn so that the height of a node corresponds to the dissimilarity of the merged clusters.

November 19, 2007

7

COMP-652 Lecture 20





Remarks

• We can form a flat clustering by cutting the tree at any height.

• Jumps in the height of the dendrogram can suggest natural cutoffs.

November 19, 2007

11

COMP-652 Lecture 20

Divisive clustering

- Works by recursively partitioning the instances.
- But dividing such as to optimize one of the agglomerative criteria is computationally hard!
- Many heuristics for partitioning the instances have been proposed ... but many violate monotonicity, making it hard to draw dendrograms.











A constrained optimization problem!

min
$$\sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{x}_i - (\mathbf{b} + \alpha_i \mathbf{v})\|^2$$

w.r.t. $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{v}, \alpha_i, i = 1, \dots m$

s.t.
$$\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = 1$$

We write down the Lagrangian (see SVM lectures):

$$L(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{v}, \lambda, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{x}_i - (\mathbf{b} + \alpha_i \mathbf{v})\|^2 + \lambda(\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 - 1)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{x}_i\|^2 + m\|\mathbf{b}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^2$$
$$- 2\mathbf{b} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i - 2\mathbf{v} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i + 2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{v} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i$$
$$- \lambda \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \lambda$$

November 19, 2007

COMP-652 Lecture 20

Solving the optimization problem

- The most straightforward approach would be to write the KKT conditions and solve the resulting equations
- Unfortunately, we get equations which have multiple variables in them, and the resulting system is not linear (you can check this)
- Instead, we will fix v.
- For a given v, finding the best b and α_i is now an unconstrained optimization problem:

$$\min R = \min \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|\mathbf{x}_i - (\mathbf{b} + \alpha_i \mathbf{v})\|^2$$

November 19, 2007

Solving the optimization problem (II)

• We write the gradient of R wrt to α_i and set it to 0:

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial \alpha_i} = 2 \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \alpha_i - 2\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}_i + 2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{v} = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_i = \mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{b})$$

where we take into account that $\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = 1$.

• We write the gradient of R wrt b and set it to 0:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{b}}R = 2m\mathbf{b} - 2\sum_{i=1}^{m}\mathbf{x}_{i} + 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\alpha_{i}\right)\mathbf{v} = 0 \qquad (1)$$

• From above:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{v}^T (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{v}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{x}_i - m\mathbf{b} \right)$$
(2)

November 19, 2007

COMP-652 Lecture 20

Solving the optimization problem (III)

• By plugging (2) into (1) we get:

$$\mathbf{v}^T \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i - m\mathbf{b}\right) \mathbf{v} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{x}_i - m\mathbf{b}\right)$$

• This is satisfied when:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{x}_i - m\mathbf{b} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{x}_i$$

- This means that the line goes through the mean of the data
- By substituting α_i , we get:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i = \mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{v}^T(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{b}))\mathbf{v}$$

• This means that instances are projected orthogonally on the line to get the associated point.

```
November 19, 2007
```





Finding the direction of the line

 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{v}^T (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{b}) (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{b})^T \mathbf{v}$

• Optimization problem re-written:

 $\max_{\mathbf{v}}$

s.t.
$$\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = 1$$

• The Lagrangian is:

$$L(\mathbf{v},\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{v}^{T} (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{b}) (\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{b})^{T} \mathbf{v} + \lambda - \lambda \|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}$$

- Let $S = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{b}) (\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{b})^T$ be an *n*-by-*n* matrix, which we will call the <u>scatter matrix</u>
- The solution to the problem, obtained by setting $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}L = 0$, is: $S\mathbf{v} = \lambda \mathbf{v}.$

November 19, 2007

31

COMP-652 Lecture 20

Optimal choice of \boldsymbol{v}

- Recall: an <u>eigenvector</u> \mathbf{u} of a matrix A satisfies $A\mathbf{u} = \lambda \mathbf{u}$, where $\lambda \in \Re$ is the eigenvalue.
- Fact: the scatter matrix, *S*, has *n* non-negative eigenvalues and *n* orthogonal eigenvectors.
- The equation obtained for v tells us that it should be an eigenvector of *S*.
- The v that maximizes $v^T S v$ is the eigenvector of S with the largest eigenvalue

What is the scatter matrix

• S is an $n \times n$ matrix with

$$S(k,l) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\mathbf{x}_i(k) - \mathbf{b}(k)) (\mathbf{x}_i(l) - \mathbf{b}(l))$$

• Hence, S(k, l) is proportional to the <u>estimated covariance</u> between the *k*th and *l*th dimension in the data.

November 19, 2007

33

COMP-652 Lecture 20

Recall: Covariance

• Covariance quantifies a *linear* relationship (if any) between two random variables *X* and *Y*.

$$Cov(X, Y) = E\{(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))\}$$

• Given m samples of X and Y, covariance can be estimated as

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - \mu_X) (y_i - \mu_Y) ,$$

where $\mu_X = (1/m) \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$ and $\mu_Y = (1/m) \sum_{i=1}^m y_i$.

• Note: Cov(X, X) = Var(X).

November 19, 2007





Remarks

- **b**, the eigenvalues, the v_j , and the projections of the instances can all be computing in polynomial time.
- The magnitude of the j^{th} -largest eigenvalue, λ_j , tells you how much variability in the data is captured by the j^{th} principal component
- So you have feedback on how to choose d!
- When the eigenvalues are sorted in decreasing order, the proportion of the variance captured by the first d components is:

$$\frac{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d}{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d + \lambda_{d+1} + \dots + \lambda_n}$$

• So if a "big" drop occurs in the eigenvalues at some point, that suggests a good dimension cutoff

```
November 19, 2007
```







