

80-110 Nature of Mathematical Reasoning

Spring 2002

Dirk Schlimm

— Handout #13 —

NATURAL DEDUCTION RULES

This particular way of writing formulas and proofs is due to Gerhard Gentzen (1909–45). The following rules will be discussed in class:

\neg -Intro	$\frac{[A] \quad \vdots \quad \perp}{\neg A}$	\neg -Elim	$\frac{A \quad \neg A}{\perp}$
$\&$ -Intro	$\frac{A \quad B}{A \& B}$	$\&$ -Elim	$\frac{A \& B}{A} \quad \frac{A \& B}{B}$
\vee -Intro	$\frac{A}{A \vee B} \quad \frac{B}{A \vee B}$	\vee -Elim	$\frac{A \vee B \quad \begin{array}{c} [A] \\ \vdots \\ C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} [B] \\ \vdots \\ C \end{array}}{C}$
\rightarrow -Intro	$\frac{[A] \quad \vdots \quad B}{A \rightarrow B}$	\rightarrow -Elim	$\frac{A \quad A \rightarrow B}{B}$
ex falso quodlibet	$\frac{\perp}{A}$	RAA	$\frac{[\neg A] \quad \vdots \quad \perp}{A}$

EXAMPLE

Theorem 1. *From premises $A \vee C$, $A \rightarrow B$, and $C \rightarrow D$, the conclusion $B \vee D$ follows (argument form of ‘dilemma’).*

Proof. In Natural Deduction:

$$\frac{A \vee C \quad \frac{[A] \quad A \rightarrow B}{B} \quad \frac{[C] \quad C \rightarrow D}{D}}{B \vee D}$$

□