There is a level of correctness deeper than syntax (grammar).

**Example: broken C program**

```c
foo(int a, b, c, d) {...}

bar() {
    int f[3], g[0], h, i, j, k;
    char * p;
    foo(h, i, "ab", j, k);
    k = f * i + j;
    h = g[17];
    printf("%s,%s\n", p, q);
    p = 10;
    4 = i;
}
```

What is wrong with this program?

- declared g[0], used g[17]
- wrong number of arguments for foo
- "ab" is not an int
- used f as scalar but is array
- undeclared variable q
- 10 is not a character string
- cannot assign to an integer literal
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To generate code, a compiler needs to answer many questions:

**about names**

- is $x$ a scalar, an array or a function?
- is $x$ declared? Are there names declared but not used?
- which declaration of $x$ does each use reference?

**about types**

- is the expression $x \ast y + z$ type-consistent?
- in $a[i,j,k]$, does $a$ have three dimensions?
- how many arguments does $foo$ take? What about $printf$?

**about memory**

- where can $z$ be stored? (register, local, global heap, static)
- does $*p$ reference the result of a malloc()?
- do $p$ and $q$ refer to the same memory location?

...
Name Analysis
The property “each identifier needs to be declared before use” depends on context information.

- In theory, possible to specify this with a context-sensitive grammar
- In practice we define a Context-Free Grammar (CFG) and identify invalid programs using other mechanisms enforcing language properties that cannot be expressed with a CFG

In order to check such a property, we need to find the declaration of each identifier. Additional constraints might exist depending on the specific language.
Different languages, different constraints

Example

```c
void main() {
    i = 3;
}
```

- invalid in C
- valid in Java
Name Analysis

Scopes
### Definition

The region where an identifier is visible is its **scope**.

This means it is only legal to refer to the identifier within its scope. Here identifier refers to function or variable name.

In addition, in many languages, it is illegal to declare two identifiers with the same name if they are in the same scope (ignoring nesting).

In our language we have two types of scopes:

- **Global scope** (e.g. file)
- **Local scope** (e.g. block of code)
Global scope

Any name declared outside any block has global scope. It is visible anywhere in the file after its declaration.

```c
int i;
void main() {
    i = 2;
}
```

Global scope

GlobalScope(\{ i \})
Local scope

Any identifier declared within a block \{ \ldots \} of code is visible only within that block. Function parameter identifiers have local scope, as if they had been declared inside the block forming the body of the Function.

\textbf{i, j have the same local scope}

\begin{verbatim}
void foo(int i) {
    int j;
    i = 2;
    j = 3;
}
\end{verbatim}
Scopes can be nested within each other.

**C code example**

```c
int i;
void main(int j) {
  int k;
  {
    int l;
  }
  {
    int l;
    int m;
  }
}
```

**Corresponding nested scopes**

```c
GlobalScope(
  {i}
  LocalScope(
    {j, k}
    LocalScope(
      {l}
    )
  )
  LocalScope(
    {l, m}
  )
)
```
Shadowing occurs when an identifier declared within a given scope has the same name as an identifier declared in an outer scope. The outer identifier is said to be shadowed and any use of the identifier will refer to the one from the inner scope.

Legal example in C

```c
int i;
int j;
void main(int i) {
    int j;
    i;
    {
        int j;
        j;
    }
    j;
}
```
Illegal shadowing

In some languages (e.g. Java), it is illegal to shadow local variables.

**Illegal example in Java**

```
public static void foo() {
    int i;
    ... 
    for (int i = 0; i < 5; i++) // illegal to redeclare i
        System.out.println(i);
}
```

- Making this illegal help prevent potential bugs.
- However, Java does allow for shadowing of fields by local variables, why?
  - if this were not allowed, the introduction of a new field in a superclass might create problems in the sub-classes
Illegal shadowing

In most languages, it is illegal to declare two identifiers with the same name if they are in the same scope (ignoring nesting). Here, identifier refers to a function or variable name.

**Illegal example 1 in C**

```c
int i;
int i; // illegal
void main(int j) {
    int j; // illegal
    int k;
    int k; // illegal
}
```

**Illegal example 2 in C**

```c
int i;
void i() { // illegal
}
```
Name Analysis
To perform name analysis, we need to define a few data structures:

**Symbol**

A *symbol* is a data structure that stores all the necessary information related to a declared identifier that the compiler must know.

**Symbol Table**

A *symbol table* is a data structure that stores a mapping from symbol name (*String*) to the symbol.

**Scope**

A *scope* is a data structure that stores information about declared identifiers. Scopes are usually nested.
Symbols

Symbol classes

abstract class Symbol {
    String name;
    boolean isVar() {...}
    boolean isFun() {...}
}

class FunSymbol extends Symbol {
    FunDecl fd;
    FunSymbol(FunDecl fd) {
        this.fd = fd;
        this.name = fd.name;
    }
}

class VarSymbol extends Symbol {
    VarDecl vd;
    VarSymbol(VarDecl vd) {
        this.vd = vd;
        this.name = vd.var.name;
    }
}
The symbols are stored in the symbol table within their scope.

**Scope class**

```java
abstract class Scope {
    Scope outer;
    Map<String, Symbol> symbolTable;

    Scope(Scope outer) { ... };

    Symbol lookup(String name) { ... };
    Symbol lookupCurrent(String name) { ... };

    void put(Symbol symbol) {
        symbols.put(symbol.name, symbol);
    }
}
```
Exercise

1. Why are there two look up methods?
2. Implements the look up methods.
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Visitor Implementation
Let’s write a pass to analyse names using a visitor.

The pass should:

- ensure variables and functions are declared before used
- ensure variable and function declarations name are unique within the same scope
- save the results of the analysis back in the AST nodes:
  - a reference to the variable declaration for each variable use
  - a reference to the function declaration for each function call
  - this information is necessary for the later passes
    (e.g. type checking, code generation)
Variable Declaration:

```java
int i;
```

**NameAnalysis visitor**

```java
class NameAnalysis implements ASTVisitor<Void> {

    Scope scope;
    NameAnalysis(Scopt scope) { this.scope = scope; };

    public Void visitVarDecl(VarDecl vd) {
        Symbol s = scope.lookupCurrent(vd.var.name);
        if (s != null)
            error();
        else
            scope.put(new VarSymbol(vd));
        return null;
    }
}
```
Variable Use:

```java
int i; // variable declaration
...
i+3; // variable use
```

NameAnalysis visitor : variable use

```java
public Void visitVar(VarExpr v) {
    Symbol sym = scope.lookup(v.name);
    if (sym == null || !sym.isVar())
        error();
    else
        v.vd = ((VarSymbol) sym).vd;
    return null;
}
```

VarExpr class

```java
class VarExpr {
    ...
    VarDecl vd;
}
```

Not just analysis!

The visitor does more than analysing the AST: it also remembers the result of the analysis directly in the AST node.

Need this information to identify which variable is used or which function is called.
Code block:

```
...  
{
    ...  
}
...  
```

NameAnalysis visitor : block

```java
public Void visitBlock(Block b) {
    Scope oldScope = scope;
    scope = new Scope(oldScope);
    // visit the children
    ...
    scope = oldScope;
    return null;
}
```
Next lecture

- Type analysis