

# Compiler Design

## Lecture 8: Abstract Syntax Tree Processing

---

Christophe Dubach

Winter 2022

Timestamp: 2022/01/25 10:29:34

## AST Processing Techniques

- Object-Oriented Processing

- Pattern Matching

- Visitor Processing

## AST Visualisation with Visitors

# AST Processing Techniques

---

## AST pass


An AST pass is an action that process the AST in a single traversal.

For instance, a pass can:

- interpret the AST
- assign a type to each node of the AST
- perform an optimisation
- generate code

It is important to ensure that the different passes can access the AST in a flexible way.

## Class diagram for arithmetic expressions



# Naive approach: instanceof

## Example: evaluating integer expressions

```
int evalExpr(Expr exp) {
    if (exp instanceof IntLiteral) {
        IntLiteral it = (IntLiteral) tree;
        return (it.i);
    }
    else if (exp instanceof BinOp) {
        BinOp bo = (BinOp) tree;
        if (bo.op == ADD)
            return (evalExpr(bo.lhs) + evalExpr(bo.rhs));
        ...
    } }
}
```

 What's wrong with this approach?

- a lot of boiler plate code (instanceof + typecast)
- casting is done dynamically at runtime  $\Rightarrow$  no static guarantee
- no guarantee that all the type of nodes are dealt with
  - If a new type of node is added, might forgot to add a case in a pass

# Three Ways to Properly Process an AST

- Object-Oriented Processing
- Pattern Matching
- Visitor Processing

# AST Processing Techniques

---

## Object-Oriented Processing



# Object-Oriented Processing

Using this technique, a compiler pass is represented by a function  $f()$  in each of the AST classes.

- The method is abstract if the class is abstract
- To process an instance of an AST class  $e$ , we simply call  $e.f()$ .
- The exact behaviour will depend on the concrete class implementations

## Example for arithmetic expressions

- A function to print the AST: `String toString()`
- A function to evaluate the AST: `int eval()`

```
abstract class Expr {
    abstract String toStr();
    abstract int eval();
}
class IntLiteral extends Expr {
    int i;
    String toStr() { return ""+i; }
    int eval() { return i; }
}
class BinOp extends Expr {
    Op op;
    Expr lhs;
    Expr rhs;
    String toStr() { return lhs.toStr() + op.name() + rhs.toStr();}
    int eval() {
        switch(op) {
            case ADD: return (lhs.eval() + rhs.eval());
            case SUB: return (lhs.eval() - rhs.eval());
            case MUL: return (lhs.eval() * rhs.eval());
            case DIV: return (lhs.eval() / rhs.eval());
        }
    }
} }
```

## Main class

```
class Main {  
    void main(String [] args) {  
        Expr expr = ExprParser.parse(some_input_file);  
        String str = expr.toStr();  
        int result = expr.eval();  
    }  
}
```

# Object Oriented Processing: Pros & Cons

😊 Pros:

- Type safety

☹ Cons:

- The logic is scattered all over the place in the various classes
- Passes are tightly integrated within the compiler IR, difficult to write standalone passes

❓ Can we do better ❓

# What about the following?

## Evaluation pass

```
class EvalPass {
  int eval(IntLiteral it) {
    return it.i;
  }
  int eval(BinOp bo) {
    switch(op) {
      case ADD: return (eval(lhs) + eval(rhs));
      case SUB: return (eval(lhs) - eval(rhs));
      case MUL: return (eval(lhs) * eval(rhs));
      case DIV: return (eval(lhs) / eval(rhs));
    }
  }
}
```

## Main class

```
class Main {
  void main(String[] args) {
    Expr expr = ExprParser.parse(some_input_file);
    int result = (new EvalPass()).eval(expr);
  }
}
```

 Does not work! 

Would require double-dispatch support at language level.

# Single vs. double dispatch

In Java:

## Single dispatch

```
class A {  
    void print () { System.out.print("A") };  
}  
class B extends A {  
    void print () { System.out.print("B") };  
}  
A a = new A();  
B b = new B();  
a.print ();    // outputs A  
b.print ();    // outputs B  
A b2 = new B();  
b2.print ();  // output B
```


The “correct” print method is called based on the **instance type**.

# Single vs. double dispatch

In Java:

## Double dispatch (Java does not support double dispatch)

```
class A { }
class B extends A { }
class Print() {
    void print(A a) { System.out.print("A") };
    void print(B b) { System.out.print("B") };
}
A a = new A();
B b = new B();
A b2 = new B();
Print p = new Print();
p.print(a); // outputs A
p.print(b); // outputs B
p.print(b2); // outputs A
```

 The choice of print method is based on the argument **static type**.

⇒ Cannot use this approach.

# AST Processing Techniques

---

## Pattern Matching



# Some Languages Support Pattern Matching

e.g. C#, F#, OCaml, Haskell, ML, Rust, Scala, Swift.

## Eval function in Scala using pattern matching

```
def eval(e: Expr): Int =  
  e match {  
    case IntLiteral(i)      => i  
    case BinOp(lhs, rhs, ADD) => eval(lhs) + eval(rhs)  
    case BinOp(lhs, rhs, SUB) => eval(lhs) - eval(rhs)  
    case BinOp(lhs, rhs, MUL) => eval(lhs) * eval(rhs)  
    case BinOp(lhs, rhs, DIV) => eval(lhs) / eval(rhs)  
  }
```

Looks a lot like using `instanceof` in Java, without any drawback:

- No boiler plate code
- Static type guarantees
- Scala compiler guarantees no cases are missing

Problem: Java does not (yet) support this (like many other languages).

⇒ need another solution.

# AST Processing Techniques

---

## Visitor Processing

# Visitor Processing

With this technique, all the methods from a pass are grouped in a **visitor**.

It only relies on single dispatch mechanism:

- the method is chosen based on the dynamic type of the object (the AST node)

The **visitor design pattern** allows us to implement double dispatch, the method is chosen based on:

- the dynamic type of the object (the AST node)
- the dynamic type of the argument (the visitor)

# Main idea

- The logic to handle each type of node is split up in separate functions in a single class: the **Visitor**.
- Each AST node class implements an **accept** method which dispatches the processing of that node to the right function in the visitor.

## Visitor Interface

```
interface Visitor<T> {  
    T visitIntLiteral(IntLiteral il);  
    T visitBinOp(BinOp bo);  
}
```

## Modified AST classes

```
abstract class Expr {  
    abstract <T> T accept(Visitor<T> v);  
}  
class IntLiteral extends Expr {  
    ...  
    <T> T accept(Visitor<T> v) {  
        return v.visitIntLiteral(this);  
    }  
}  
class BinOp extends Expr {  
    ...  
    <T> T accept(Visitor<T> v) {  
        return v.visitBinOp(this);  
    }  
}
```

# Examples

## ToStr Visitor

```
ToStr implements Visitor<String> {  
    String visitIntLiteral(IntLiteral il) {  
        return ""+il.i;  
    }  
    String visitBinOp(BinOp bo) {  
        return bo.lhs.accept(this) + bo.op.name() + bo.rhs.accept(this);  
    }  
}
```

## Eval Visitor

```
Eval implements Visitor<Integer> {  
    Integer visitIntLiteral(IntLiteral il) {  
        return il.i;  
    }  
    Integer visitBinOp(BinOp bo) {  
        switch(bo.op) {  
            case ADD: lhs.accept(this) + rhs.accept(this); break;  
            case SUB: lhs.accept(this) - rhs.accept(this); break;  
            case MUL: lhs.accept(this) * rhs.accept(this); break;  
            case DIV: lhs.accept(this) / rhs.accept(this); break;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

## Main class

```
class Main {  
    void main(String[] args) {  
        Expr expr = ExprParser.parse(some_input_file);  
        String str = expr.accept(new ToStr());  
        int result = expr.accept(new Eval());  
    }  
}
```

# Exercise

Trace all functions called when the Eval visitor processes  $2 * 3$ .

## Eval Visitor Class

```
Eval implements Visitor<Integer> {
  Integer visitIntLiteral(IntLiteral il) { return il.i;}
  Integer visitBinOp(BinOp bo) {
    switch(bo.op) {
      case ADD: lhs.accept(this) + rhs.accept(this); break;
      case SUB: lhs.accept(this) - rhs.accept(this); break;
      case MUL: lhs.accept(this) * rhs.accept(this); break;
      case DIV: lhs.accept(this) / rhs.accept(this); break; } } }
```

## AST Nodes Class

```
class IntLiteral extends Expr {
  ...
  <T> T accept(Visitor<T> v) {
    return v.visitIntLiteral(this); } }

class BinOp extends Expr {
  ...
  <T> T accept(Visitor<T> v) {
    return v.visitBinOp(this); } }
```



With an AST, there can extensions in two dimensions:

1. Adding a **new AST node**

- For the object-oriented processing this means add a new sub-class
- In the case of the visitor, need to add a new method in every visitor

2. Adding a **new pass**

- For the object-oriented processing, this means adding a function in every single AST node classes
- For the visitor case, simply create a new visitor

# Picking the right design

Facilitate **extensibility**:

- object-oriented design: easy to add new type of AST node
- visitor-based scheme: easy to write new passes

Facilitate **modularity**:

- the object-oriented design allows for code and data to be stored in the AST node and be shared between phases (e.g. types)
- the visitor design allows for code and data to be shared among the methods of the same pass


# **AST Visualisation with Visitors**

---

# Dot (graph description language)

## Simple example dot file

```
digraph prog {  
    a → b → c;  
    b → d → g;  
}
```




- digraph = directed graph
- prog = name of the graph (can be anything)
- to produce a pdf, simply type on linux  
dot -Tpdf graph.dot -o graph.pdf

# Representing an AST in Dot

$x + 2 - 4$

```
digraph ast {  
  binOpNode1 [label="BinOp"];  
  idNode1 [label="Ident(x)"];  
  OpNode1 [label="+"];  
  binOpNode2 [label="BinOp"];  
  cstNode1 [label="Cst(2)"];  
  OpNode2 [label="-"];  
  cstNode2 [label="Cst(4)"];  
  
  binOpNode1 → OpNode1;  
  binOpNode1 → idNode1;  
  binOpNode1 → binOpNode2;  
  binOpNode2 → OpNode2;  
  binOpNode2 → cstNode1;  
  binOpNode2 → cstNode2;  
}
```



# Vistor Implementation

Basic idea:

- Visit method writes out the node and produce edges for each child.
- Visit method returns the id of the node to the parent.

## Dot Printer Visitor

```
DotPrinter implements Visitor<String> {  
  
    PrintWriter writer;  
    int nodeCnt=0;  
  
    public DotPrinter(File f) {...}  
  
    String visitIntLiteral(IntLiteral il) {  
        nodeCnt++;  
        writer.println("Node"+nodeCnt+  
            "[label=\"Cst(\"+il.value+)\"]");  
        return "Node"+nodeCnt;  
    }  
  
    ...  
}
```

## Dot Printer Visitor

```
...
String visitBinOp(BinOp bo) {

    // write out node information
    String binOpNodeId = "Node"+nodeCnt++;
    writer.println(binOpNodeId+" [label=\"BinOp\"]");

    // traverse children
    String lhsNodeId = lhs.accept(this);
    String opNodeId = "Node"+nodeCnt++;
    writer.println(opNodeId+" [label=\""+\"]");
    String rhsNodeId = rhs.accept(this);

    // write out edges
    writer.println(binOpNodeId + "→" lhsNodeId + ";");
    writer.println(binOpNodeId + "→" opNodeId + ";");
    writer.println(binOpNodeId + "→" rhsNodeId + ";");

    // return node id
    return binOpNodeId;
}
...
}
```

- Context-sensitive Analysis