

PROPHET INEQUALITY:

Def: A stopping rule τ w.r.t. random variables X_1, \dots, X_n is a r.v. τ w/ values in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and the property that for all $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the occurrence or non-occurrence of event $\tau = t$ only depends on the values of X_1, \dots, X_t .

[Krengel-Sucheston - Carling '79]: If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent, non-negative there exists a stopping rule τ w.r.t. X_1, \dots, X_n such that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_\tau] \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\max X_i]$$

Proof: • Let F_1, \dots, F_n be the distn's of X_1, \dots, X_n

• Let $\tau(\gamma) = \operatorname{argmin}_t \{X_t \geq \gamma\}$ for some γ TBD

(i.e. stop the first time you see a sample $\geq \gamma$)

• Let $q(\gamma) = \mathbb{P}_r[X_t < \gamma, \forall t] \equiv \prod_t F_t(\gamma^-)$

• $\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau(\gamma)}] \geq$

$$\gamma \cdot \mathbb{P}_r[\exists t, t' \text{ s.t. } X_t \geq \gamma, X_{t'} \geq \gamma] + \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_t | X_t \geq \gamma \wedge X_{t'} < \gamma, \forall t' \neq t]}_{(2)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(*) &= \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_t | X_t \geq \gamma] \cdot \Pr[X_t \geq \gamma] \cdot \prod_{t' \neq t} \Pr[X_{t'} < \gamma] \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E}[X_t - \gamma | X_t \geq \gamma] + \gamma \right) \cdot \Pr[X_t \geq \gamma] \cdot \prod_{t' \neq t} F_{t'}(\gamma^-) \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E}[(X_t - \gamma)_+] \cdot \prod_{t' \neq t} F_{t'}(\gamma^-) + \gamma \cdot (1 - F_t(\gamma)) \cdot \prod_{t' \neq t} F_{t'}(\gamma^-) \right) \\
&\geq \underbrace{q(\gamma)} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_t - \gamma)_+] + \gamma \cdot \underbrace{\Pr[\exists! t \text{ s.t. } X_t \geq \gamma]}
\end{aligned}$$

• Hence: $\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau(\gamma)}] \geq \underbrace{(1 - q(\gamma)) \cdot \gamma} + \underbrace{q(\gamma)} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_t - \gamma)_+] \quad (**)$

• On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\max X_t] &= \mathbb{E}[\gamma + \max(X_t - \gamma)] \\
&\leq \gamma + \mathbb{E}[\max(X_t - \gamma)_+] \\
&\leq \gamma + \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_t - \gamma)_+] \quad (***)
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose exists γ s.t. $q(\gamma) = 1 - q(\gamma) = 1/2$. Proof then follows from $(**)$ and $(***)$.

→ If such τ doesn't exist find τ s.t.

$$q(\tau) = \Pr[X_t < \tau, \forall t] \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq \Pr[X_t \leq \tau, \forall t] \equiv q^+(\tau) \quad (**)$$

Compare the revenue from stopping rules:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \tau^-(\tau) &= \arg \min_t \{X_t > \tau\} \\ \text{and } \tau^+(\tau) &= \arg \min_t \{X_t \geq \tau\} \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{want to show} \\ \text{that at least} \\ \text{one of these} \\ \text{two guarantees} \\ \frac{1}{2}\text{-approximation} \end{array}$$

Using the following derivation as for $\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau(\tau)}]$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau(\tau)}] \geq \tau \cdot \underbrace{\Pr[\exists t, t' \text{ s.t. } X_t > \tau, X_{t'} < \tau]}_{(*)} + \underbrace{\sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_t | X_t > \tau \wedge \bigwedge_{t' \neq t} X_{t'} \leq \tau]}_{(*)} \cdot \Pr[X_t > \tau \wedge \bigwedge_{t' \neq t} X_{t'} \leq \tau]$$

$$(*) = \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_t | X_t > \tau] \cdot \Pr[X_t > \tau] \cdot \prod_{t' \neq t} \Pr[X_{t'} \leq \tau]$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E}[X_t - \tau | X_t > \tau] + \tau \right) \cdot \Pr[X_t > \tau] \cdot \prod_{t' \neq t} F_{t'}(\tau)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^n \left(\mathbb{E}[(X_t - \tau)_+] \cdot \prod_{t' \neq t} F_{t'}(\tau) + \tau \cdot (1 - F_t(\tau)) \cdot \prod_{t' \neq t} F_{t'}(\tau) \right)$$

$$\geq \underbrace{q^+(\tau)}_{(*)} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_t - \tau)_+] + \tau \cdot \underbrace{\Pr[\exists! t \text{ s.t. } X_t > \tau]}_{(*)}$$

• Hence: $\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau(z)}] \geq \underbrace{(1-q(z)) \cdot z}_{\text{green}} + \underbrace{q(z)}_{\text{orange}} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_t - z)_+] \quad (***)$

• Recall from (**) that:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau(z)}] \geq \underbrace{(1-q(z)) \cdot z}_{\text{red}} + \underbrace{q(z)}_{\text{orange}} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_t - z)_+] \quad (**)$$

and from (***) that:

$$\mathbb{E}[\max X_t] \leq z + \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_t - z)_+] \quad (***)$$

• Case analysis: - If $z \geq \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_t - z)_+]$, then it follows from (***), (**) & (***) that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau(z)}] \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\max X_t]$$

- If $z \leq \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_t - z)_+]$, then it follows

from (***), (***) & (**) that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau(z)}] \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\max X_t]$$



Corollary to Bulow-Klemperer '96:

For any regular distnⁿ F and any n :

$$\mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_n \sim F} [\text{Rev}(\text{Vickrey})] \geq (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_n \sim F} [\text{Rev}(\text{Myerson})]$$

Proof: • Suffices to show:

$$\mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \sim F} [\text{Rev of Myerson on } v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}] \geq (1 - \frac{1}{n}) \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_n \sim F} [\text{Rev of Myerson on } v_1, \dots, v_n]$$

• Sample v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} and $v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_{n-1}, v'_n$ as follows:

- draw iid samples u_1, \dots, u_n from F
- draw random permutation π
- set $v_i = u_{\pi(i)}, \forall i=1, \dots, n-1$
 $v'_i = u_{\pi(i)}, \forall i=1, \dots, n$

• Under above sampling:

① v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} iid from F ; hence:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\vec{u}, \pi} [\text{Virtual Welfare of Myerson's auction on } v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \sim F} [\text{Revenue of Myerson's auction on } v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}]$$

② v'_1, \dots, v'_n iid from F ; hence:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\vec{u}, \pi} \left[\text{VW of Myerson's auction on } v_1', \dots, v_n' \right] = \mathbb{E}_{v_1', \dots, v_n' \sim F} \left[\text{Revenue of Myerson's auction on } v_1', \dots, v_n' \right]$$

③ For each realization of \vec{u} :

$$\mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left[\text{Virtual Welfare of Myerson's auction on } v_1, \dots, v_{n-1} \right] \geq$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left[\text{Virtual Welfare of Myerson's auction on } v_1', \dots, v_n' \right]$$